

REGISTRATION REPORT

Part B

Section 7

Metabolism and Residues

Detailed summary of the risk assessment

Product code: **CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS**

Product name(s):

Gamelan 100 FS

Doraltes 100 FS

Chemical active substance:

Prothioconazole, 100 g/L

Central Zone

Zonal Rapporteur Member State: Poland

CORE ASSESSMENT

(extension of approval)

Applicant: Innvigo Sp. z o.o.

Submission date: 10.2025

Assessment of use extension: 12.2025

Final version: 02.2026

Version history

When	What
December 2025	Assessment of use extension:
February 2026	Final version

Table of Contents

7	Metabolism and residue data (KCA section 6).....	5
7.1	Summary and zRMS Conclusion.....	5
7.1.1	Summary of the evaluation	12
7.1.1.1	Summary for Prothioconazole	12
7.1.1.2	Summary for CHR/ZF/PROTI.....	14
7.2	Prothioconazole.....	14
7.2.1	Stability of Residues (KCA 6.1)	15
7.2.1.1	Stability of residues during storage of samples	15
7.2.1.2	Stability of residues in sample extracts (KCA 6.1).....	16
7.2.2	Nature of residues in plants, livestock and processed commodities.....	16
7.2.2.1	Nature of residue in primary crops (KCA 6.2.1)	16
7.2.2.2	Nature of residue in rotational crops (KCA 6.6.1).....	18
7.2.2.3	Nature of residues in processed commodities (KCA 6.5.1).....	18
7.2.2.4	Conclusion on the nature of residues in commodities of plant origin (KCA 6.7.1)	18
7.2.2.5	Nature of residues in livestock (KCA 6.2.2-6.2.5)	19
7.2.2.6	Conclusion on the nature of residues in commodities of animal origin (KCA 6.7.1)	23
7.2.3	Magnitude of residues in plants (KCA 6.3)	24
7.2.3.1	Summary of European data and new data supporting the intended uses	24
7.2.3.2	Conclusion on the magnitude of residues in plants	28
7.2.4	Magnitude of residues in livestock	28
7.2.4.1	Dietary burden calculation	28
7.2.4.2	Livestock feeding studies (KCA 6.4.1-6.4.3)	31
7.2.5	Magnitude of residues in processed commodities (Industrial Processing and/or Household Preparation) (KCA 6.5.2-6.5.3).....	34
7.2.6	Magnitude of residues in representative succeeding crops.....	34
7.2.7	Other / special studies (KCA6.10, 6.10.1)	34
7.2.8	Estimation of exposure through diet and other means (KCA 6.9).....	35
7.2.8.1	Input values for the consumer risk assessment	35
7.2.8.2	Conclusion on consumer risk assessment	42
7.3	Combined exposure and risk assessment	43
7.4	References.....	44
Appendix 1	Lists of data considered in support of the evaluation	45
Appendix 2	Detailed evaluation of the additional studies relied upon	50
Appendix 3	Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo).....	76
A 3.1	TMDI calculations	76
A 3.2	IEDI calculations	77
A 3.3	IESTI calculations - Raw commodities	78
A 3.4	IESTI calculations - Processed commodities.....	79
A 3.5	TMDI calculations - 1,2,4-T	80
A 3.6	IEDI calculations- 1,2,4-T	81

A 3.7	IESTI calculations - 1,2,4-T.....	82
A 3.8	TMDI calculations - TLA	83
A 3.9	IEDI calculations- TLA	84
A 3.10	IESTI calculations - TLA.....	85
A 3.11	TMDI calculations – TA	86
A 3.12	IEDI calculations- TA.....	87
A 3.13	IESTI calculations - TA	88
A 3.14	TMDI calculations – TAA	89
A 3.15	IEDI calculations- TAA	90
A 3.16	IESTI calculations - TAA	91
Appendix 4	Additional information provided by the applicant	92

7 Metabolism and residue data (KCA section 6)

7.1 Summary and zRMS Conclusion

New and additional information provided in case of extension of approval were highlighted in yellow. All unhighlighted information were assessed during first registration of Gamelan 100 FS/Doltres 100 FS and still valid in this extension.

Selection of critical uses and justification

The critical GAPs with respect to consumer intake and risk assessment for the preparation CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS are presented in Table 7.1-1. They have been selected from the individual GAPs in the Central Zone for winter cereals. A list of all intended uses within the Central Zone is given in Part B, Section 0.

Overall conclusion

RMS comment: The aim of this report is to evaluate the extension of use of Gamelan 100 FS to the protection of winter barley. The registration report is based on the Core Assessment, dated 05/09/2022 and National Addendum (PL) dated 27/02/2024, on the use of Gamelan 100 as seed treatment in cereals. No new studies have been submitted in the framework of this application. Cereals are the major crops in Europe. Sufficient number of residue trials is available (EU data and data evaluated in Core Assessment (2022) and National Addendum (2024)). The residues of prothioconazole are below of current MRL of 0.1 mg/kg and 0.2 mg/kg in wheat and barley respectively. As the application conditions requested are within the scope of already evaluated GAP, the required extension is supported. The studies on residues of prothioconazole metabolites were assessed in the Core Dossier (2022) as well as in National Addendum (2024) and were considered acceptable. The estimated long-term dietary intake of prothioconazole was below the ADI. The diet with the highest TMDI is IT toddler population with 3% of ADI. For this diet, the highest contributor is wheat. The assessment of the acute risk assessment indicated no exceedance of ARfD for children and adults. The dietary intake assessment with regard to the TDMs showed no chronic and acute risk for consumers. The required uses are supported.

The data available are considered sufficient for risk assessment.

The assessment of this application is based on the toxicological reference values and endpoints determined in the framework of the peer review of the active substance Prothioconazole (EFSA Scientific Report (2007) 106, 1-98).

The review of all existing MRLs of Prothioconazole according to Article 12 is ongoing (EFSA Journal 2020;18 (2):5999).

Sufficient residue trials on winter cereals are available to support the central zone assessment. The exceedance of the currently established MRLs of 0.1 mg/kg for Prothioconazole as laid down in Reg. (EU) 2024/1318 is not expected.

The chronic and acute intakes of Prothioconazole residues are unlikely to present a public health concern.

Data gaps

None

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

GAP for PROTHIOCONAZOLE (EFSA Scientific Report (2007) 106, 1-98) in the context of the authorization request

Crop and/or situation (a)	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I (b)	Pests or Group of pests controlled (c)	Formulation		Application				Application rate per treatment			PHI (days) (l)	Remarks: (m)
					Type (d-f)	Conc. of a.s. (i)	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min max (k)	interval between applications (min)	kg as/hl min max	water l/ha min max	kg as/ha min max		
wheat, rye, triticale, oat, barley	EU North South	Redigo	F	Fusarium spp., Bunt, Smut	FS	100 g/L	seed treatment	pre sowing	1	n.a. (0)		200 – 400 ml water /dt	*approx. 9-18 g as/ha (180 kg seed/ha)	n.a.	*5 – 10 g as/dt seed [1]

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

Table 7.1-1: Acceptability of critical GAPs (and respective fall-back GAPs, if applicable)

PPP (product name/code)	CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS	Formulation type:	FS	GAP rev.	, date: 2025-02-24
Active substance 1:	prothioconazole	Conc. of as 1:	100,0 g/l		
Active substance 2:	n/a	Conc. of as 2:	n/a		
Active substance....:	n/a	Conc. of as:	n/a		
Safener:	-	Conc. of safener:	conc. ^(c)		
Synergist:	-	Conc. of synergist:	conc. ^(c)		
Applicant:	Innvigo Sp. z o.o.	Professional use:	☒		
Zone(s):	interzonal	Non professional use:	☐		
Verified by MS:	yes/ no				
Field of use:	Seed treatment				

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Use- No. (e)	Member state(s)	Crop and/ or situation (crop destination / purpose of crop)	F, Fn, Fpn G, Gn, Gpn or I	Pests or Group of pests controlled (additionally: develop- mental stages of the pest or pest group)	Application				Application rate per treatment			PHI (days)	Remarks: e.g. g safener/syner- gist per ha (f)
					Method / Kind	Timing / Growth stage of crop & season	Max. number a) per use b) per crop/ season	Min. interval between ap- plications (days)	kg or L product / ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	g or kg as/ha a) max. rate per appl. b) max. total rate per crop/season	Water L/ha min / max		
Zonal uses (field or outdoor uses, certain types of protected crops)													
1													
2													
Interzonal uses (use as seed treatment, in greenhouses (or other closed places of plant production), as post-harvest treatment or for treatment of empty storage rooms)													
3	PL, SK, LV, LT,	Winter wheat (TRZAW)	F	Tilletia caries, Fusarium sp., Monographella	winter seed treat-	n/a	a)1 b)1	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg	n/a	Sowing rate: 180-250 kg/ha

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltis 100 FS
Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
zRMS version

	EE*, DE*			<i>nivalis</i> (anam. <i>Microdochium nivale</i>), <i>Ustilago tritici</i>	ment				b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	b) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha	seed		EE, DE – registration ongoing
4	CZ	Winter wheat (TRZAW)	F	<i>Tilletia caries</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> <i>sp.</i> , <i>Monographella ni-</i> <i>valis</i> (anam. <i>Microdo-</i> <i>chium nivale</i>), <i>Ustilago tritici</i>	winter seed treat- ment	n/a	a)l b)l	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 180 kg/ha
5	PL, SK, LV, LT, EE*, DE*	Winter tritcale (TTLWI)	F	<i>Fusarium sp.</i> , <i>Monographella nivalis</i> (anam. <i>Microdochium</i> <i>nivale</i>)	winter seed treat- ment	n/a	a)l b)l	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.015-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.015-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 150-250 kg/ha EE, DE – registration ongoing
6	CZ	Winter tritcale (TTLWI)	F	<i>Fusarium sp.</i> , <i>Monographella nivalis</i> (anam. <i>Microdochium</i> <i>nivale</i>)	winter seed treat- ment	n/a	a)l b)l	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.015-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.015-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 180 kg/ha
7	PL, SK, LV, LT, EE*, DE*	Winter rye (SECCW)	F	<i>Fusarium sp.</i> , <i>Monographella nivalis</i> (anam. <i>Microdochium</i> <i>nivale</i>), <i>Urocystis occulta</i>	winter seed treat- ment	n/a	a)l b)l	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 95-250 kg/ha EE, DE – registration ongoing
8	CZ	Winter rye (SECCW)	F	<i>Fusarium sp.</i> , <i>Monographella nivalis</i> (anam. <i>Microdochium</i> <i>nivale</i>), <i>Urocystis occulta</i>	winter seed treat- ment	n/a	a)l b)l	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 180 kg/ha
9	PL	Winter barley (HORVW)	F	<i>Fusarium sp.</i> , <i>Monographella nivalis</i> (anam. <i>Microdochium</i> <i>nivale</i>), <i>Pyrenophora</i> <i>graminea</i> , <i>Pyrenophora</i> <i>teres</i> (anam. <i>Drechslera</i> <i>teres</i>), <i>Ustilago nuda</i>	winter seed treat- ment	n/a	a)l b)l	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 95-250 kg/ha A

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltés 100 FS
Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
zRMS version

10	HU	Winter wheat (TRZAW)	F	<i>Tilletia caries</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> sp., <i>Monographella nivalis</i> (anam. <i>Microdochium nivale</i>).	winter seed treatment	n/a	a)1 b)1	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 180-250 kg/ha A
11	HU	Winter barley (HORVW)	F	<i>Fusarium</i> sp., <i>Monographella nivalis</i> (anam. <i>Microdochium nivale</i>), <i>Pyrenophora graminea</i> , <i>Pyrenophora teres</i> (anam. <i>Drechslera teres</i>).	winter seed treatment	n/a	a)1 b)1	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 95-250 kg/ha A
12	RO	Winter wheat (TRZAW)	F	<i>Tilletia caries</i> , <i>Fusarium</i> sp., <i>Monographella nivalis</i> (anam. <i>Microdochium nivale</i>), <i>Ustilago tritici</i>	winter seed treatment	n/a	a)1 b)1	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 180-250 kg/ha
13	RO	Winter triticale (TTLWI)	F	<i>Fusarium</i> sp., <i>Monographella nivalis</i> (anam. <i>Microdochium nivale</i>)	winter seed treatment	n/a	a)1 b)1	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.015-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.015-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 180 kg/ha
14	RO	Winter rye (SECCW)	F	<i>Fusarium</i> sp., <i>Monographella nivalis</i> (anam. <i>Microdochium nivale</i>), <i>Urocystis occulta</i>	winter seed treatment	n/a	a)1 b)1	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 180 kg/ha
Minor uses according to Article 51 (zonal uses)													
15													
16													
Minor uses according to Article 51 (interzonal uses)													
17	PL, LT, RO*, HU	Spring rye (SECCS)	F	<i>Fusarium</i> sp., <i>Urocystis occulta</i>	Spring seed treatment	n/a	a)1 b)1	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l /t seed b) Max. 1.0 l /t seed	a) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 95-250 kg/ha

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltos 100 FS

Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment

zRMS version

									seed	b) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha			RO – registration on-going
18	CZ	Spring rye (SECCS)	F	<i>Fusarium sp.</i> , <i>Urocystis occulta</i>	Spring seed treatment	n/a	a)1 b)1	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l/t seed b) Max. 1.0 l/t seed	a) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.0095-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 180 kg/ha
19	PL, RO*, HU	Durum wheat (TRZDU), Spelt wheat (TRZSP)	F	<i>Fusarium sp.</i> , <i>Tilletia caries</i> , <i>Microdochium majus</i> , <i>Ustilago tritici</i>	Winter seed treatment	n/a	a)1 b)1	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l/t seed b) Max. 1.0 l/t seed	a) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 180-250 kg/ha RO – registration on-going
20	PL, RO*, HU	Durum wheat (TRZDU), Spelt wheat (TRZSP), Einkorn wheat (TRZMO) Emmer wheat (TRZDI)	F	<i>Fusarium sp.</i> , <i>Tilletia caries</i> , <i>Ustilago tritici</i>	Spring seed treatment	n/a	a)1 b)1	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l/t seed b) Max. 1.0 l/t seed	a) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 180-250 kg/ha RO – registration on-going
21	LT	Durum wheat (TRZDU), Spelt wheat (TRZSP)	F	<i>Fusarium sp.</i> , <i>Tilletia caries</i> , <i>Microdochium majus</i> , <i>Ustilago tritici</i>	Winter seed treatment	n/a	a)1 b)1	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l/t seed b) Max. 1.0 l/t seed	a) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 180-250 kg/ha
22	CZ	Durum wheat (TRZDU), Spelt wheat (TRZSP), Einkorn wheat (TRZMO) Emmer wheat (TRZDI)	F	<i>Fusarium sp.</i> , <i>Tilletia caries</i> , <i>Ustilago tritici</i> , <i>Microdochium majus</i>	Spring/winter seed treatment	n/a	a)1 b)1	n/a	a) Max. 1.0 l/t seed b) Max. 1.0 l/t seed	a) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha b) 0.018-0.025 kg a.s/ha	max. 0.7 L/100 kg seed	n/a	Sowing rate: 180 kg/ha

* Use number(s) in accordance with the list of all intended GAPs in Part B, Section 0 should be given in column 1

** Use also code numbers according to Annex I of Regulation (EU) No 396/2005

*** F: professional field use, Fn: non-professional field use, Fpn: professional and non-professional field use, G: professional greenhouse use, Gn: non-professional greenhouse use, Gpn: professional and non-professional greenhouse use, I: indoor application

Explanation for Column 11 "Conclusion"

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS

Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment

zRMS version

A	Exposure acceptable without risk mitigation measures, safe use
R	Further refinement and/or risk mitigation measures required
N	Exposure not acceptable, no safe use

7.1.1 Summary of the evaluation

The preparation CHR/ZF/PROTI is composed of prothioconazole.

Table 7.1-2: Toxicological reference values for the dietary risk assessment of prothioconazole

Reference value	Source	Year	Value	Study relied upon	Safety factor
Prothioconazole - Parent compound					
ADI	EFSA	2007	0.05	rat – oncogenicity	100
ARfD	EFSA	2007	0.02	original & suppl. rat developm. studies combined	100
Prothioconazole – metabolite Prothioconazole-desthio					
ADI	EFSA	2007	0.01	rat – oncogenicity	100
ARfD	EFSA	2007	0.01	Supplementary rat developmental	100

The relevant TDMs Toxicological reference values (Appendix III; SANCO/830/08 – rev. 3 2020)

	Reference value	Study	Uncertainty Factor
1,2,4-Triazole			
ADI	0.023 mg/kg bw per day	Rat 12-month study	300
ARfD	0.1 mg/kg bw	Rabbit developmental study	300
Triazole alanine			
ADI	0.3 mg/kg bw per day	Rabbit developmental study	100
ARfD	0.3 mg/kg bw	Rabbit developmental study	100

Triazole acetic acid			
ADI	1.0 mg/kg bw per day	Rat 2-generation and rabbit developmental studies	100
ARfD	1.0 mg/kg bw	Rat 2-generation and rabbit developmental studies	100
Triazole lactic acid			
ADI	0.3 mg/kg bw per day	Bridging from TA	
ARfD	0.3 mg/kg bw	Bridging from TA	

7.1.1.1 Summary for Prothioconazole

Table 7.1-3: Summary for Prothioconazole

Use-No.*	Crop	Plant metabolism covered?	Sufficient residue trials?	PHI sufficiently supported?	Sample storage covered by stability data?	MRL compliance	Chronic risk for consumers identified?	Acute risk for consumers identified?
1	Winter wheat	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	No	No
2	Winter triticale	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes		No
3	Winter rye	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes		No

* Use number(s) in accordance with the list of all intended GAPs in Part B, Section 0 should be given in column 1

7.1.1.2 Summary for CHR/ZF/PROTI

Table 7.1-4: Information on CHR/ZF/PROTI (KCA 6.8)

Crop	PHI for CHR/ZF/PROTI proposed by applicant	PHI/ Withholding period* sufficiently supported for	PHI for CHR/ZF/PROTI proposed by zRMS	zRMS Comments (if different PHI proposed)
		Prothioconazole		
Winter wheat	N/A for seed treatment	N/A for seed treatment	N/A	
Winter triticale	N/A for seed treatment	N/A for seed treatment		
Winter rye	N/A for seed treatment	N/A for seed treatment		

NR: not relevant


* Purpose of withholding period to be specified

** F: PHI is defined by the application stage at last treatment (time elapsing between last treatment and harvest of the crop).

7.2 Prothioconazole

General data on Prothioconazole are summarized in the table below (last updated 2021/01/26)

Table 7.2-1: General information on Prothioconazole

Active substance (ISO Common Name)	Prothioconazole
IUPAC	(RS)-2-[2-(1-chlorocyclopropyl)-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxypropyl]-2,4-dihydro-1,2,4-triazole-3- thione
Chemical structure	
Molecular formula	C ₁₄ H ₁₅ Cl ₂ N ₃ OS
Molar mass	344.26
Chemical group	Triazoles
Mode of action (if available)	Sterol biosynthesis in membranes
Systemic	Yes
Company (ies)	BAYER Cropscience AG
Rapporteur Member State (RMS)	United Kingdom
Approval status	Approved COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2008/44/EC of 4 April 2008
Restriction	COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING REGULATION (EU) 2019/707 of 7 May 2019
Review Report	SANCO/3923 /07 - final 26 January 2021

Current MRL regulation	COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) 2024/1318 of 4 April 2019
Peer review of MRLs according to Article 12 of Reg No 396/2005 EC performed	Yes
EFSA Journal : Conclusion on the peer review	EFSA Scientific Report (2007) 106, 1-98
EFSA Journal: conclusion on article 12	Yes
Current MRL applications on intended uses	EFSA Journal 2020;18 (2):5999 Commodities: all Status: Evaluation report available

* Notifier in the EU process to whom the a.s. belong(s)

** If yes: EFSA, YYYY - see list of references

7.2.1 Stability of Residues (KCA 6.1)

7.2.1.1 Stability of residues during storage of samples

Available data

No new data submitted in the framework of this application.

Table 7.2-2: Summary of stability data achieved at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ (unless stated otherwise)

Matrix	Characteristics of the matrix	Acceptable Maximum Storage duration	Reference
Data relied on in EU			
Plant products			
Wheat	Grain (High starch content)	180 days for prothioconazole 540 days for Prothioconazole-desthio	Heinemann, O., 2001a
	Straw	120 days for prothioconazole 540 days for Prothioconazole-desthio	Heinemann, O., 2001a
	Green material (High water content)	60 days for prothioconazole 540 days for Prothioconazole-desthio	Heinemann, O., 2001a
Wheat, barley	Grain (High starch content)	12 months for 1,2,4-T 26 months for TA 26 months for TAA 48 months for TLA	<i>EFSA Journal</i> 2018;16(7):5376
	Straw	12 months for 1,2,4-T 53 months for TA 40 months for TAA 40 months for TLA	<i>EFSA Journal</i> 2018;16(7):5376
Wheat	Green material (High water content)	6 months for 1,2,4-T 53 months for TA 53 months for TAA 48 months for TLA	<i>EFSA Journal</i> 2018;16(7):5376

TA: triazole alanine; TAA: triazole acetic acid; TLA: triazole lactic acid; 1,2,4-T: 1,2,4-Triazole.

Conclusion on stability of residues during storage

The storage stability evaluated during Annex I inclusion covers plant matrices for use CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS according to the label.

7.2.1.2 Stability of residues in sample extracts (KCA 6.1)

For all presented studies analysis time were less than 24 hours between extraction and analysis or it was shown that study extracts are stable.

7.2.2 Nature of residues in plants, livestock and processed commodities

7.2.2.1 Nature of residue in primary crops (KCA 6.2.1)

Available data

No new data submitted in the framework of this application.

Table 7.2-3: Summary of plant metabolism studies

Crop Group	Crop	Label po- sition	Application and sampling details					Reference
			Method, F or G (a)	Rate (g a.s./100 kg seed)	No	Sampling (DAT)	Remarks	
EU data								
Cereals	Wheat	phenyl- UL-14C Prothio- conazole	Seed treat- ment	20 g(1 N) or 100 g (5 N)	1	57, 119 and 153 days	-	Haas, M., 2001

Summary of plant metabolism studies reported in the EU

The nature of residues in spring wheat (variety: Kadett) was investigated in a 1997 indoor study (EU and EPA guidelines). [phenyl-UL-14C] JAU 6476 (radiochemical purity >99%) was dissolved in acetone and applied to wheat seeds at either 20 g a.s./100 kg seed (1 N) or 100 g a.s./100 kg seed (5 N). Treated seeds were sown in 0.5 m² pots at an approximate rate of 220 kg seed/ha. (Proposed EU GAP for original approval for cereals is 9-18 g a.s./ha; 180 kg seed/ha).

All samples were stored at -20 °C until analysed. All matrices except grain were extracted with acetonitrile/water, with added cysteine hydrochloride to prevent oxidation of the parent material. Extracts were then partitioned with dichloromethane and radioactivity quantified by LSC and characterised by TLC and HPLC against authentic samples. Non-extractable residues were determined by combustion LSC.

Total radioactive residues (TRR) were calculated as the sum of extractable and non-extractable radioactivity. Grain samples were not extracted or characterised, as TRR was less than 0.01 mg/kg. Distribution of radioactivity in other matrices is given in Table B.7.2.1-3 for 10 N samples. Similar distribution was found in 2 N rate samples. Characterisation and identification was only carried out for 10 N samples.


Conclusions

Prothioconazole is extensively metabolised. In a first step the sulphur group of the triazolinethione ring is oxydised to the corresponding sulfonic acid. Subsequent elimination of the sulfonic acid moiety results in prothioconazole-desthio (metabolite M04) which is consistently the major prothioconazole-structurally related metabolite in all plant parts and for all growth stages, except in nutmeat, where it was not found. This metabolite is further hydroxylated in the chlorophenyl ring

forming various hydroxyl-desthio isomers and dihydroxy-olefins. Similarly, α -hydroxylation of prothioconazole-desthio was also observed. A dimerisation product and other metabolites resulting from combined oxidation of the sulphur atom and hydroxylation of the chlorophenyl ring were also identified. Cleavage of the triazole moiety is also observed resulting in the 'triazole derivative metabolites' which consist essentially in triazole alanine and triazole acetic acid. These compounds are common, unspecific metabolites of triazole fungicides. Triazole alanine and triazole acetic acid are massively translocated to wheat grains where they represent 90% of the Total Radioactive Residues (TRR).

This study was originally submitted and accepted for the first approval of prothioconazole. The metabolism in primary crops study presented during Annex I inclusion, covers use of CHR/ZF/PROTI on winter cereals. No new studies were necessary.

Figure B.7.1 Proposed metabolic pathway for JAU 6476 in plants



7.2.2.2 Nature of residue in rotational crops (KCA 6.6.1)

Available data

No new data submitted in the framework of this application.

Table 7.2-4: Summary of metabolism studies in rotational crops

Crop group	Crop	Label position	Application and sampling details					Reference
			Method, F or G *	Rate (kg a.s./ha)	Sowing intervals (DAT)	Harvest Intervals (DAT)	Remarks	
EU data								
Leafy vegetables	swisschard	[phenyl-UL-14C]-JAU 6476	F	580 g as/ha	28, 146 and 269 days	80, 188 and 348 days	-	Haas, 2001
Root and tuber vegetables	turnip	[phenyl-UL-14C]-JAU 6476	F	580 g as/ha	28, 146 and 269 days	94, 201 and 349 days	-	Haas, 2001
Cereals	wheat	[phenyl-UL-14C]-JAU 6476	F	580 g as/ha	28, 146 and 269 days	73, 111,145, 178, 231, 269, 327, 377 and 412 days	-	Haas, 2001

* Outdoor/field application (F) or glasshouse/protected/indoor application (G)

Summary of plant metabolism studies reported in the EU

There are no significant soil residues of JAU 6476 at times of sowing following crops, residues of JAU 6476-desthio are predicted to be 42% 120 days after treatment. A study of uptake and metabolism in rotational crops showed that residues in all rotational crops declined between first and third rotations. Significant residues (>0.1 mg/kg) were only found in wheat straw and hay and these were at similar or lower levels than those recorded for the directly treated crop, also with similar metabolic profiles. Therefore, residues in rotational crops will not lead to any additional exposure to JAU 6476-desthio above that from directly treated crops.

Conclusion on metabolism in rotational crops

The metabolism in rotational crops covers use of CHR/ZF/PROTI according to the label.

7.2.2.3 Nature of residues in processed commodities (KCA 6.5.1)

No significant residues, i.e. >0.1 mg/kg, are expected to be found in winter cereals and therefore processing studies are not required.

7.2.2.4 Conclusion on the nature of residues in commodities of plant origin

(KCA 6.7.1)

Table 7.2-5: Summary of the nature of residues in commodities of plant origin

Endpoints	
Plant groups covered	Cereals (Wheat)
Rotational crops covered	Cereals (wheat) Leafy vegetables (swisschard) Root vegetables (turnip)
Metabolism in rotational crops similar to metabolism in primary crops?	Yes
Processed commodities	Not required
Residue pattern in processed commodities similar to pattern in raw commodities?	Yes
Plant residue definition for monitoring	Prothioconazole-desthio. (JAU 6476-desthio)
Plant residue definition for risk assessment	Sum of prothioconazole-desthio and all metabolites containing the 2-(1-chlorocyclopropyl)-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxypropyl-2H-1,2,4-triazole moiety) expressed as prothioconazole-desthio.
Conversion factor from enforcement to RA	2 (cereal grain)

* If residue pattern in processed commodities is not similar to that in raw commodities

** A more recent proposal by EFSA may be provided as additional information (EFSA RO XXXX).

*** If no EFSA proposal is available, a proposal should be made by the applicant/zRMS.

7.2.2.5 Nature of residues in livestock (KCA 6.2.2-6.2.5)

Available data

No new data submitted in the framework of this application.

Table 7.2-6: Summary of animal metabolism studies


Group	Species	Label position	No of animal	Application details		Sample details		Reference
				Rate (mg/kg bw/d)	Duration (days)	Commodity	Time of sampling	
EU data								
Lactating ruminants	Goat	[Phenyl-UL-14C]JAU 6476	2	10	3	Milk	twice daily	Weber and Spiegel, 2001 Weber, H. Weber, E. Spiegel, K., 2002
						Urine and faeces	daily	
						Tissues	at sacrifice	
Laying poultry	Hens	[Phenyl-UL-14C]JAU 6476	6	10	3	Eggs	twice daily	Weber and Spiegel, 2001
						Excreta	regular intervals	

						Tissues	at sacrifice	
--	--	--	--	--	--	---------	-----------------	--

Summary of plant metabolism studies reported in the EU


Rat and goat metabolism studies showed that JAU 6476 is rapidly adsorbed but not extensively metabolised. In the rat, JAU 6476 was almost completely excreted and in the goat it was largely excreted. In the goat, only 0.96% of the total dose was found in tissues after sacrifice and JAU 6476 was the major residue. However, as animals are more likely to be exposed to the JAU 6476-desthio metabolite, this compound was also been studied. Again in the rat and goat, JAU 6476-desthio is rapidly adsorbed, although there is more extensive metabolism. Although still largely excreted in the goat, 1.9 % of the total dose was found in tissues after sacrifice. The main metabolic reactions in the goat were hydroxylation of JAU 6476-desthio resulting in the isomers M14 and M15, followed by oxidation of the chlorophenyl moiety leading to M32 and M36. To greater or lesser extent, there was also conjugation of JAU 6476-desthio and metabolites with glucuronic acid. Although these compounds were found in tissues following dosing at very exaggerated levels, they were mainly associated with the excretory organs and are therefore unlikely to be distributed to other parts of the body.

Figure B.7.2 Proposed metabolic pathway for JAU 6476-desthio in the goat



A similar metabolic pathway was observed in poultry, although residue levels in poultry feed are unlikely to lead to significant residues in products of poultry origin.

Figure B.7.3 Proposed metabolic pathway for JAU 6476 in the hen



Conclusion on metabolism in livestock

Available metabolism studies demonstrated the residues of prothioconazole are not expected in significant amount since they are very polar and extensively excreted. The metabolic patterns identified in lactating goats and laying hens is consistent with the rat metabolism and a specific metabolism study in pigs is not considered necessary.

7.2.2.6 Conclusion on the nature of residues in commodities of animal origin (KCA 6.7.1)

Table 7.2-7: Summary on the nature of residues in commodities of animal origin

	Endpoints
Animals covered	Lactating goats
	Laying hens
Time needed to reach a plateau concentration	4 days in milk
	6 days in eggs
Animal residue definition for monitoring	Sum of prothioconazole-desthio and its glucuronide conjugate, expressed as prothioconazole-desthio (JAU 4676-desthio)
Animal residue definition for risk assessment	Sum of prothioconazole-desthio and all metabolites containing the 2-(1-chlorocyclopropyl)-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxypropyl-2H-1,2,4-triazole moiety) expressed as prothioconazole-desthio.
Conversion factor	10 Milk 2 Liver 10 Muscle 2 Kidney 4 Fat
Metabolism in rat and ruminant similar	Yes
Fat soluble residue	Yes, Log Pow for JAU 6476-desthio = 3.04

* A more recent proposal by EFSA may be provided as additional information (EFSA RO XXXX)

** If no EFSA proposal is available, a proposal should be made by the applicant/zRMS.

*** If metabolism in rat and ruminant are not similar

7.2.3 Magnitude of residues in plants (KCA 6.3)

7.2.3.1 Summary of European data and new data supporting the intended uses

New studies on the magnitude of residue have not been submitted by the applicant in the framework of this application, as studies presented on EU level covers cGAP for CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS. These studies are summarized in the Table below.

Table 7.2-8: Summary of EU reported and new data supporting the intended uses of CHR/ZF/PROTI and conformity to existing MRL

Commodity	Source	Residue zone (N-EU, S-EU, EU, outside EU)	Evaluation GAP Residue levels (mg/kg) E = according to enforcement residue definition RA = according to risk assessment residue definition	STMR (mg/kg)	HR (mg/kg)	Unrounded OECD calculator MRL (mg/kg)	Current EU MRL (mg/kg) *	MRL compliance
Wheat (Grain)	Heinemann, O, 2001, RA-2010/99 Heinemann, O, 2001, RA-2091/00 Heinemann, O, 2001, RA-2003/99	N-EU	GAP on which MRL/EU a.s. assessment is based: 1 x 0.024-0.030 kg as/ha, BBCH 0, outdoor 8 x <0.01	N/A				
	Overall supporting data for cGAP	N-EU	8 x <0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-	0.1	Yes
Wheat (straw)	Heinemann, O, 2001, RA-2010/99 Heinemann, O, 2001, RA-2091/00 Heinemann, O, 2001, RA-	EU	GAP on which MRL/EU a.s. assessment is based: 1 x 0.024-0.030 kg as/ha, BBCH 0, outdoor 4 x <0.05	N/A				

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS

Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment

zRMS version

	2003/99							
	Overall supporting data for cGAP	EU	4 x <0.05	<0.05	<0.05	-	-	-
Barley (grain)	Heinemann, O, 2001, RA-2150/98 Heinemann, O, Elke, K., 2001, RA-2140/98	EU	GAP on which MRL/EU a.s. assessment is based: 1 x 0.02 kg as/ha, BBCH 0, outdoor 5 x <0.01	N/A				
	Overall supporting data for cGAP	EU	5 x <0.01	<0.01	<0.01	-	0.2	Yes
New data								
Spring wheat and spring barley (Grain)	Peda, T., Jędrusik, M., 21SGS97, 21SGS98, 21SGS99, 21SGS103 Peda, T., Niewelt-Stasiak, S., 21SGS101, 21SGS102, 21SGS104	N-EU	GAP: 1 l prod./t seeds equivalent to 0,100 kg a. s./t seeds and 0,025 kg a. s./ha considering drilling rate of 250 kg seeds/ha, BBCH 0, outdoor 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T): <0.003, <0.003, <0.003, <0.003, <0.003, <0.01, <0.01 Triazolyl lactic acid (TLA): <0.003, <0.003, <0.003, <0.01, <0.01, <0.01, 0.015 Triazolylalanine (TA): 0.03, 0.058, 0.12, 0.14, 0.22, 0.22, 0.59 Triazolylacetic acid (TAA): 0.012, 0.041, 0.053, 0.076, 0.098, 0.31, 0.51	N/A				

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS

Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment

zRMS version

	Overall supporting data for cGAP	N-EU	1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T): <0.003, <0.003, <0.003, <0.003, <0.003, <0.01, <0.01	0.003	0.01	0.015	-	-
	Overall supporting data for cGAP	N-EU	Triazolyl lactic acid (TLA): <0.003, <0.003, <0.003, <0.01, <0.01, <0.01, 0.015	0.01	0.015	0.03	-	-
	Overall supporting data for cGAP	N-EU	Triazolylalanine (TA): 0.03, 0.058, 0.12, 0.14, 0.22, 0.22, 0.59	0.14	0.59	1.0	-	-
	Overall supporting data for cGAP	N-EU	Triazolylacetic acid (TAA): 0.012, 0.041, 0.053, 0.076, 0.098, 0.31, 0.51	0.076	0.51	0.9	-	-
Spring wheat and spring barley (Straw)	Peda, T., Jędrusik, M., 21SGS97, 21SGS98, 21SGS99, 21SGS103 Peda, T., Niewelt-Stasiak, S., 21SGS101, 21SGS102, 21SGS104	N-EU	GAP: 1 l prod./t seeds equivalent to 0,100 kg a. s./t seeds and 0,025 kg a. s./ha considering drilling rate of 250 kg seeds/ha, BBCH 0, outdoor 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T): <0.003, 0.010, 0.011, 0.021, 0.022, 0.027, 0.030 Triazolyl lactic acid (TLA): <0.003, 0.011, 0.013, 0.016, 0.022, 0.024, 0.025 Triazolylalanine (TA): <0.003, <0.003, 0.012, 0.018, 0.020, 0.028, 0.092 Triazolylacetic acid (TAA): <0.003, <0.01, 0.018, 0.036, 0.047, 0.17, 0.29	N/A				
	Overall supporting data for cGAP	N-EU	1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T): <0.003, 0.010, 0.011, 0.021, 0.022, 0.027, 0.030	0.021	0.03	-	-	-
	Overall supporting data for cGAP	N-EU	Triazolyl lactic acid (TLA): <0.003, 0.011, 0.013, 0.016, 0.022, 0.024, 0.025	0.016	0.25	-	-	-

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

	Overall supporting data for cGAP	N-EU	Triazolylalanine (TA): <0.003, <0.003, 0.012, 0.018, 0.020, 0.028, 0.092	0.018	0.092	-	-	-
	Overall supporting data for cGAP	N-EU	Triazolylacetic acid (TAA): <0.003, <0.01, 0.018, 0.036, 0.047, 0.17, 0.29	0.036	0.29	-	-	-
Rye	Residue data extrapolated from supervised trials presented above according to SANTE/2019/12752.						0.05	Yes
Triticale							0.1	Yes

* Source of EU MRL: Reg. (EU) 2024/1318

According to [SANTE/2019/12752 - revision2 - 23 June 2025](#) guidance document, residue data set for winter rye, [winter barley](#) and triticale can be obtained from wheat and barley. According to GAP winter rye, winter barley and triticale are designed to be used in the same BBCH 0 phases as seed treatment. The applied for GAP is considered to be covered by the critical EU GAP that was used for the MRL setting assessment. Data/information which are source of extrapolation were reviewed during the Annex I inclusion process and were considered to be acceptable.

RMS comment: Cereals are the major crops in Europe. Sufficient number of residue trials is available (EU data and data evaluated in Core Assessment (2022) and National Addendum (2024)). The residues of prothioconazole are below of current MRL of 0.1 mg/kg and 0.2 mg/kg in wheat and barley respectively. As the application conditions requested are within the scope of already evaluated GAP, the required extension is supported. The studies on prothioconazole metabolites were assessed in the Core Dossier (2022) as well as in National Addendum (2024) and were considered acceptable.

7.2.3.2 Conclusion on the magnitude of residues in plants

According to the available data, the intended uses on cereals are considered acceptable, for outdoor uses. The data submitted show that no exceedance of the MRL will occur. The uses are considered acceptable.

7.2.4 Magnitude of residues in livestock

7.2.4.1 Dietary burden calculation

Table 7.2-9: Input values for the dietary burden calculation

Feed Commodity	Median dietary burden		Maximum dietary burden	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
Risk assessment residue definition: sum of prothioconazole-desthio and all metabolites containing the 2-(1-chlorocyclopropyl)-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxypropyl-2H-1,2,4-triazole moiety, expressed as prothioconazole-desthio (sum of isomers)				
Wheat (forage)	0.10	$STMR \times CF (2)$	0.10	$STMR \times CF (2)$
Wheat (hay)	0.10	$STMR \times CF (2)$	0.10	$STMR \times CF (2)$
Wheat (straw)	0.10	$STMR \times CF (2)$	0.10	$STMR \times CF (2)$
Wheat (grain)	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$	N/A	NA
Rye (forage)	0.10	$STMR \times CF (2)$	$STMR \times CF (2)$	$STMR \times CF (2)$
Rye (straw)	0.10	$STMR \times CF (2)$	$STMR \times CF (2)$	$STMR \times CF (2)$
Rye (grain)	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$	N/A	NA
Triticale (forage)	0.10	$STMR \times CF (2)$	$STMR \times CF (2)$	$STMR \times CF (2)$
Triticale (hay)	0.10	$STMR \times CF (2)$	$STMR \times CF (2)$	$STMR \times CF (2)$
Triticale (straw)	0.10	$STMR \times CF (2)$	$STMR \times CF (2)$	$STMR \times CF (2)$
Triticale (grain)	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$	N/A	NA
Brewer's grain (dried)	0.07	$STMR \times CF (2) \times Default PF$	N/A	NA
Distiller's grain (dried)	0.07	$STMR \times CF (2) \times Default PF$	N/A	NA
Wheat gluten (meal)	0.04	$STMR \times CF (2) \times Default PF$	N/A	NA

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS

Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment

zRMS version

Feed Commodity	Median dietary burden		Maximum dietary burden	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
Wheat (milled by products)	0.14	$STMR \times CF (2) \times Default PF$	N/A	NA

New Dietary Burden calculations were performed, taking into account STMR values from residues trials presented in DAR/RAR. New calculations were presented below in Animal model 2017.

Table 7.2-10: Results of the dietary burden calculation

Animal burden calculation							Prothioconazole									
According to: "OECD Guidance Document, Series on testing and assessment No 64 and Series on pesticides No 32" and "OECD Guidance Document on Residues in livestock, Series on Pesticides No 73"																
Maximum Intake	Cattle						Sheep									
	Beef			Dairy			Ram/Ewe			Lamb						
	500 kg			650 kg			75 kg		40 kg							
	12 kg			25 kg			2.5 kg		1.7 kg							
(mg/kg bw/d)	0.0406	mg/kg bw/d	%	0.0406	mg/kg bw/d	%	0.0364	mg/kg bw/d	0.0636	mg/kg bw/d	%					
Contributor 1	Barley	grain	70	Barley	grain	40	Barley	grain	40	Barley	grain	60				
Contributor 2	Barley	forage	30	Barley	forage	30	Barley	forage	50	Barley	forage	40				
Contributor 3			0	Wheat	milled bypdt	30	Wheat	milled bypdt	10			0				
Contributor 4																
Median intake	0.0038	mg/kg bw/d		0.0060	mg/kg bw/d		0.0078	mg/kg bw/d	0.0105	mg/kg bw/d						
Maximum Intake	Swine						Intakes >0.004 mg/kg bw/d are highlighted									
	Breeding			Finishing												
	260 kg			100 kg												
	6 kg			3 kg												
(mg/kg bw/d)	0.044	mg/kg bw/d	%	0.056	mg/kg bw/d	%										
Contributor 1	Barley	grain	80	Barley	grain	80										
Contributor 2	Wheat	forage	20	Wheat	milled bypdt	20										
Contributor 3			0													
Contributor 4																
Median intake	0.004	mg/kg bw/d		0.003	mg/kg bw/d											
Maximum Intake	Poultry															
	Broiler			Layer			Turkey									
	1.7 kg			1.9 kg			7 kg									
	0.12 kg			0.13 kg			0.5 kg									
(mg/kg bw/d)	0.115	mg/kg bw/d	%	0.156	mg/kg bw/d	%	0.083	mg/kg bw/d	%							
Contributor 1	Barley	grain	70	Barley	grain	100	Barley	grain	50							
Contributor 2	Wheat	milled bypdt	20			0	Wheat	milled bypdt	20							
Contributor 3						0										
Contributor 4																
Median intake	0.003	mg/kg bw		0.006	mg/kg bw		0.003	mg/kg bw								
Intakes expressed on the dry mater basis (mg/kg DM)																
mg/kg DM	Cattle			Sheep			Swine									
	Beef	Dairy		Ram/Ewe	Lamb		Breeding	Finishing								
Maximum	1.6909	1.06		1.09	1.50		1.90	1.85								
Median	0.1568	0.16		0.23	0.25		0.17	0.09								
Poultry						Intake >0.1 mg/kg DM in red characters										
Broiler			Layer									Turkey				
Maximum	1.62	2.27		1.17												
Median	0.05	0.09		0.05												

Table 7.22-10: Results of the dietary burden calculation

Animal species	Median dietary burden (mg/kg bw/d)	Median dietary burden (mg/kg DM)	Highest contributing commodity	Max dietary burden (mg/kg DM)	Trigger exceeded (Y/N)
Risk assessment residue definition: sum of prothioconazole-desthio and all metabolites containing the 2-(1-chlorocyclopropyl)-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxypropyl-2H-1,2,4-triazole moiety, expressed as prothioconazole-desthio (sum of isomers)					
Beef cattle*	0.0038	0.0406	Barley (grain)	0.0406	Y
Dairy cattle*	0.0060	0.0406	Barley (grain)	0.0406	Y
Ram/ewe	0.0078	0.0364	Barley (grain)	0.0364	Y

Animal species	Median dietary burden (mg/kg bw/d)	Median dietary burden (mg/kg DM)	Highest contributing commodity	Max dietary burden (mg/kg DM)	Trigger exceeded (Y/N)
Lamb	0.0105	0.0636	Barley (grain)	0.0636	Y
Breeding swine	0.004	0.044	Barley (grain)	0.044	Y
Finishing swine*	0.003	0.056	Barley (grain)	0.056	Y
Broiler poultry	0.003	0.115	Barley (grain)	0.115	Y
Layer poultry*	0.006	0.156	Barley (grain)	0.156	Y
Turkey	0.003	0.083	Barley (grain)	0.083	Y

* These categories correspond to those (formerly) assessed at EU level.

7.2.4.2 Livestock feeding studies (KCA 6.4.1-6.4.3)

Available data

According DAR Prothioconazole - Volume 3, Annex B.7: Residues (2004):

In a 1998 livestock feeding study (EU and EPA guidelines), unlabelled JAU 6476-desthio (chemical purity 96.5%) was administered to lactating dairy cows (2.5-4 years old; 469-652 kg at administration). Nominal doses of 4, 25 and 100 mg/kg feed (approximately 1.3, 7 and 30N respectively, based on calculated animal intakes) were administered orally for 28 consecutive days to three replicate animals in each dose group. An additional control animal was not dosed.

During the dosing period, milk samples were collected twice daily for three days each week. Urine and faeces were not sampled and the health of animals during the study period was not reported. After sacrifice (within 24 hours of the final dose), liver, kidney, fat and muscle samples were taken for analysis. Samples were stored below -18°C until analysis. Tissue and milk samples were processed and analysed by HPLC/MS/MS for M14, M15 and JAU 6476-desthio, using the procedures described in residue analytical method and its modification for milk M001. Reported LOQs were 0.01 mg/kg for muscle, liver, kidney and fat, and 0.004 mg/kg for milk, as total residue. Total residues in milk at the 4 and 25 mg/kg dose levels were below the LOQ. At the 100 mg/kg dose level, total residues increased from <0.004 mg/kg (day 1) to a plateau level of 0.008 to 0.012 mg/kg (day 4 to day 29). Residues in tissues after sacrifice are given in table below and residues in all control samples were below the LOQ.

Commodity	Dietary burden			Results of the livestock feeding study					Median residue (mg/kg) ^(b)	Highest residue (mg/kg) ^(c)	Calculated MRL (mg/kg)	CF for RA ^(d)	
	Med. (mg/kg bw/d)	Med. (mg/kg DM)	Diet contribution	Dose Level (mg/kg bw/d) ^(a)	No	Result for enforcement		Result for RA					
						Mean (mg/kg)	Max. (mg/kg)	Mean (mg/kg)					Max. (mg/kg)
Enforcement residue definition: prothioconazole-desthio (sum of isomers). Risk assessment residue definition: sum of prothioconazole-desthio and all metabolites containing the 2-(1-chlorocyclopropyl)-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxypropyl-2H-1,2,4-triazole moiety, expressed as prothioconazole-desthio (sum of isomers).													
Pig muscle	0.04	0.056	80%	0.15	3	<0.01	<0.01	Refer to results for enforcement residue definition	<0.01	<0.01	0.01* (tentative)	1.0	
				0.91	3	<0.01	<0.01						
				3.64	3	<0.01	<0.01						
Pig fat				80%	0.15	3	<0.01		<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01* (tentative)	1.0
			0.91		3	<0.01	<0.01						
			3.64		3	0.02	0.04						
Pig liver				80%	0.15	3	0.02		0.03	<0.01	<0.01	0.01* (tentative)	2.0
			0.91		3	0.14	0.18						
			3.64		3	0.68	1.20						
Pig kidney				80%	0.15	3	<0.01		<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01* (tentative)	9.0
			0.91		3	0.03	0.03						
			3.64		3	0.13	0.24						
Milk	0.0060	0.0406	40%	0.15	3	<0.005	N/A	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005*	1.0		
				0.91	3	<0.005	N/A						
				3.64	3	<0.005	N/A						

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

Ruminant muscle	0.0038	0.0406	0%	0.15	3	<0.01	<0.01	Refer to results for enforcement residue definition	<0.01	<0.01	0.01* (tentative)	1.0
				0.91	3	<0.01	<0.01					
				3.64	3	<0.01	<0.01					
Ruminant fat			0%	0.15	3	<0.01	<0.01		<0.01	<0.01	0.01* (tentative)	1.0
				0.91	3	<0.01	0.01					
				3.64	3	0.02	0.04					
Ruminant liver			0%	0.15	3	0.02	0.03		0.01	0.042	0.05 (tentative)	2.0
				0.91	3	0.14	0.18					
				3.64	3	0.68	1.20					
Ruminant kidney			10%	0.15	18	<0.01	<0.01		<0.01	0.012	0.02 (tentative)	9.0
				0.91	18	0.03	0.03					
				3.64	18	0.13	0.24					

N/A: Not applicable – only the mean values are considered for calculating MRLs in milk.

n.r.: Not reported

(*): Indicates that the MRL is set at the limit of analytical quantification.

(F): MRL is expressed as mg/kg of fat contained in the whole product.

(a): Based on a xx kg animal consuming xx kg feed DM/day.

(b): Median residue value according to the enforcement residue definition, derived by interpolation/extrapolation from the feeding study for the median dietary burden (FAO, 2009).

(c): Highest residue value (tissues, eggs) or mean residue value (milk) according to the enforcement residue definition, derived by interpolation/extrapolation of the maximum dietary burden between the relevant feeding groups of the study (FAO, 2009).

(d): The median conversion factor for enforcement to risk assessment.

(e): Mean residue level from day X until day XX (X cows, Y sampling days).

7.2.5 Magnitude of residues in processed commodities (Industrial Processing and/or Household Preparation) (KCA 6.5.2-6.5.3)

No significant residues, i.e. >0.1 mg/kg, were found in grain and therefore processing studies are not required. No further studies have been performed

7.2.6 Magnitude of residues in representative succeeding crops

The crops under consideration can be grown in rotation.

Considering available data dealing with nature of residues (see 7.2.2.2), no study regarding magnitude of residues in succeeding crops is needed.

7.2.7 Other / special studies (KCA6.10, 6.10.1)

The available data on EU level for the active substance sufficiently address aspects of the residue situation that might arise from the use of CHR/ZF/PROTI, therefore, other special studies are not needed.

7.2.8 Estimation of exposure through diet and other means (KCA 6.9)

Toxicological reference values relevant for dietary risk assessment are reported in the summary of the evaluation (see 7.1.1).

7.2.8.1 Input values for the consumer risk assessment

Table 7.2-11: Input values for the consumer risk assessment

Commodity	Chronic risk assessment		Acute risk assessment	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
Risk assessment for Prothioconazole-desthio				
TMDI/ESTI				
Wheat	0.2	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318	0.2	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
Barley	0.04	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318	0.04	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
Rye	0.1	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318	0.1	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
Other cereals	0.1	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318	N/A	N/A
Barley/beer	N/A	N/A	0.008	$MRL \times CF (2) \times$ Default PF Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
Barley/milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.04	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
Barley/cooked	N/A	N/A	0.04	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
Rye /milling (wholemeal)- baking	N/A	N/A	0.1	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
Rye /boiled	N/A	N/A	0.1	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
Wheat /bread (wholemeal)	N/A	N/A	0.2	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
Wheat /bread/pizza	N/A	N/A	0.2	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
Wheat pasta	N/A	N/A	0.2	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
Wheat /milling (wholemeal)- baking	N/A	N/A	0.2	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
Wheat /milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.2	$MRL \times CF (2)$ Reg. (EU) 2024/1318
IEDI/ESTI				

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

Commodity	Chronic risk assessment		Acute risk assessment	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
Wheat	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Other cereals	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	N/A	N/A
Barley/beer	N/A	N/A	0.004	$STMR \times CF (2) \times$ <i>Default PF</i> RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley/milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley/cooked	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye /milling (wholemeal)- baking	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye /boiled	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /bread (wholemeal)	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /bread/pizza	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat pasta	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /milling (wholemeal)- baking	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Risk assessment for 1,2,4-Triazole				
TMDI/ESTI				
Wheat	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Barley	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Rye	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Other cereals	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

Commodity	Chronic risk assessment		Acute risk assessment	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
Barley/beer	N/A	N/A	0.006	$MRL \times CF (2) \times \text{Default PF}$
Barley/milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Barley/cooked	N/A	N/A	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Rye /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Rye /boiled	N/A	N/A	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /bread (wholemeal)	N/A	N/A	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /bread/pizza	N/A	N/A	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat pasta	N/A	N/A	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.03	$MRL \times CF (2)$
IEDI/ESTI				
Wheat	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Other cereals	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.006	N/A
Barley/beer	N/A	N/A	0.0012	$STMR \times CF (2) \times \text{Default PF}$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley/milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley/cooked	N/A	N/A	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye /boiled	N/A	N/A	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /bread (wholemeal)	N/A	N/A	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

Commodity	Chronic risk assessment		Acute risk assessment	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
Wheat /bread/pizza	N/A	N/A	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat pasta	N/A	N/A	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.006	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Risk assessment for Triazolyl lactic acid				
TMDI/ESTI				
Wheat	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Barley	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Rye	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Other cereals	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Barley/beer	N/A	N/A	0.012	$MRL \times CF (2) \times$ <i>Default PF</i>
Barley/milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Barley/cooked	N/A	N/A	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Rye /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Rye /boiled	N/A	N/A	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /bread (wholemeal)	N/A	N/A	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /bread/pizza	N/A	N/A	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat pasta	N/A	N/A	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.06	$MRL \times CF (2)$
IEDI/ESTI				
Wheat	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

Commodity	Chronic risk assessment		Acute risk assessment	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
		RAR 2018, vol.3-B7		RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Other cereals	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.02	N/A
Barley/beer	N/A	N/A	0.004	$STMR \times CF (2) \times$ <i>Default PF</i> RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley/milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley/cooked	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye /boiled	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /bread (wholemeal)	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /bread/pizza	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat pasta	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.02	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Risk assessment for Triazole alanine				
TMDI/ESTI				
Wheat	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Barley	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Rye	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Other cereals	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

Commodity	Chronic risk assessment		Acute risk assessment	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
Barley/beer	N/A	N/A	0.4	$MRL \times CF (2) \times \text{Default PF}$
Barley/milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Barley/cooked	N/A	N/A	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Rye /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Rye /boiled	N/A	N/A	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /bread (wholemeal)	N/A	N/A	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /bread/pizza	N/A	N/A	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat pasta	N/A	N/A	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	2.0	$MRL \times CF (2)$
IEDI/ESTI				
Wheat	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Other cereals	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.28	N/A
Barley/beer	N/A	N/A	0.056	$STMR \times CF (2) \times \text{Default PF}$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley/milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley/cooked	N/A	N/A	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye /boiled	N/A	N/A	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

Commodity	Chronic risk assessment		Acute risk assessment	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
Wheat /bread (wholemeal)	N/A	N/A	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /bread/pizza	N/A	N/A	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat pasta	N/A	N/A	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.28	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Risk assessment for Triazole acetic acid				
TMDI/ESTI				
Wheat	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Barley	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Rye	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Other cereals	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Barley/beer	N/A	N/A	0.36	$MRL \times CF (2) \times$ Default PF
Barley/milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Barley/cooked	N/A	N/A	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Rye /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Rye /boiled	N/A	N/A	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /bread (wholemeal)	N/A	N/A	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /bread/pizza	N/A	N/A	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat pasta	N/A	N/A	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$
Wheat /milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	1.8	$MRL \times CF (2)$

Commodity	Chronic risk assessment		Acute risk assessment	
	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment	Input value (mg/kg)	Comment
IEDI/ESTI				
Wheat	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Other cereals	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7	0.152	N/A
Barley/beer	N/A	N/A	0.0304	$STMR \times CF (2) \times$ <i>Default PF</i> RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley/milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Barley/cooked	N/A	N/A	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Rye /boiled	N/A	N/A	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /bread (wholemeal)	N/A	N/A	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /bread/pizza	N/A	N/A	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat pasta	N/A	N/A	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /milling (wholemeal)-baking	N/A	N/A	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7
Wheat /milling (flour)	N/A	N/A	0.152	$STMR \times CF (2)$ RAR 2018, vol.3-B7

7.2.8.2 Conclusion on consumer risk assessment

Extensive calculation sheets are presented in Appendix 3.

Table 7.2-12: Consumer risk assessment

Prothioconazole-desthio	
TMDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1	3 % (based on IT toddler for wheat)
IEDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1	0.4 % (based on DK child for rye)

IESTI (% ARfD) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1 for unprocessed commodities	0.1 % for unprocessed wheat for children
IESTI (% ARfD) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1 for processed commodities	0.1 % for milled wheat (flour)
1,2,4-Triazole	
TMDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1	1 % (based on DK child for rye)
IEDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1	0.3 % (based on DK child for rye)
IESTI (% ARfD) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1 for unprocessed commodities	0.09 % (based on child for wheat)
IESTI (% ARfD) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1 for processed commodities	0.1 % (based on child for wheat milling flour)
Triazolyl lactic acid	
TMDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1	0.2 % (based on DK child for rye)
IEDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1	0.1 % (based on DK child for rye)
IESTI (% ARfD) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1 for unprocessed commodities	0.1 % (based on child for wheat)
IESTI (% ARfD) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1 for processed commodities	0.1 % (based on child for wheat milling flour)
Triazole alanine	
TMDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1	7 % (based on DK child for rye)
IEDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1	0.9 % (based on DK child for rye)
IESTI (% ARfD) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1 for unprocessed commodities	1 % (based on child for wheat)
IESTI (% ARfD) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1 for processed commodities	1 % (based on child for wheat milling flour)
Triazole acetic acid	
TMDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1	2 % (based on DK child for rye)
IEDI (% ADI) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1	0.2 % (based on DK child for rye)
IESTI (% ARfD) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1 for unprocessed commodities	0.2 % (based on child for wheat)
IESTI (% ARfD) according to EFSA PRIMo rev. 3.1 for processed commodities	0.2 % (based on child for wheat milling flour)

The proposed uses of prothioconazole in the formulation CHR/ZF/PROTI do not represent unacceptable acute and chronic risks for the consumer.

7.3 Combined exposure and risk assessment

Not relevant. The product contains only one active substance.

7.4 **References**

- EFSA Scientific Report (2007) 106, 1-98, Conclusion on the peer review of prothioconazole
- Draft Assessment Report, Volume 3, Annex B, B.7, July 2005
- Draft Assessment Report Addendum, 2006
- Core Assessment, 2022
- National Addendum, 2024

Appendix 1 Lists of data considered in support of the evaluation

List of data submitted by the applicant and relied on

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Owner
KCA 6.3/01	Peda, T., Jędrusik, M.	2022	<i>Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring barley (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS - one decline curve study trial in Germany – 2021</i> 21SGS97, DPL/87/2021 SGS Polska Sp. z o. o. GLP, Pszczyna, Poland GLP Unpublished	N	Chemrol
KCA 6.3/02	Peda, T., Jędrusik, M.	2022	<i>Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring barley (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS - one decline curve study trial in Denmark – 2021</i> 21SGS98, DPL/88/2021 SGS Polska Sp. z o. o. GLP, Pszczyna, Poland GLP Unpublished	N	Chemrol
KCA 6.3/03	Peda, T., Jędrusik, M.	2022	<i>Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole(1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring wheat(Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS - one harvest study trial in Germany – 2021</i> 21SGS99, DPL/91/2021 SGS Polska Sp. z o. o. GLP, Pszczyna, Poland GLP Unpublished	N	Chemrol
KCA 6.3/04	Peda, T.,	2022	<i>Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring barley (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one</i>	N	Chemrol

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltos 100 FS

Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment

zRMS version

	Niewelt-Stasiak, S.		<i>application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – one harvest study trial in Poland – 2021</i> 21SGS101, DPL/89/2021 SGS Polska Sp. z o. o. GLP, Pszczyna, Poland GLP Unpublished		
KCA 6.3/05	Peda, T., Niewelt-Stasiak, S.	2022	<i>Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring barley (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – one decline curve study trial in Poland – 2021</i> 21SGS102, DPL/90/2021 SGS Polska Sp. z o. o. GLP, Pszczyna, Poland GLP Unpublished	N	Chemirol
KCA 6.3/06	Peda, T., Jędrusik, M.	2022	<i>Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring wheat (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS - one decline curve study trial in Poland – 2021</i> 21SGS103, DPL/93/2021 SGS Polska Sp. z o. o. GLP, Pszczyna, Poland GLP Unpublished	N	Chemirol
KCA 6.3/07	Peda, T., Niewelt-Stasiak, S.	2022	<i>Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring wheat (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS - one decline curve study trial in Poland – 2021</i> 21SGS104, DPL/94/2021 SGS Polska Sp. z o. o. GLP, Pszczyna, Poland GLP Unpublished	N	Chemirol

List of data submitted or referred to by the applicant and relied on, but already evaluated at EU peer review

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Owner
KCP 6.1/01	Heinemann, O.	2001a	<i>18 months storage stability of residues of JAU 6476 and JAU 6476-Desthio during frozen storage in/on wheat matrices</i> Bayer AG, Report No.: MR-282/00, GLP Unpublished	N	BAY
KCP 6.2.1	Haas, M.	2001	<i>Metabolism of JAU6476 in spring wheat after seed dressing</i> Bayer AG, Report No.: MR-467/99 GLP Unpublished	N	BAY
KCP 6.2.2/01	Weber, H. Spiegel, K.	2001	<i>[Phenyl-UL-14C]JAU6476 Absorption, distribution, excretion and metabolism in the lactating goat</i> Bayer AG, Report No.: MR-092/01 GLP Unpublished	N	BAY
KCP 6.2.2/02	Weber, H. Weber, E. Spiegel, K.	2002	<i>[Phenyl-UL-14C]JAU6476-desthio Absorption, distribution, excretion, and metabolism in the lactating goat</i> Bayer AG, Report No.: MR-091/01 GLP Unpublished	N	BAY
KCP 6.2.2/03	Weber, H. Spiegel, K.	2001	<i>[Phenyl-UL-14C]JAU6476 Absorption, distribution, excretion and metabolism in laying hens</i> Bayer AG, Report No.: MR-309/01 GLP Unpublished	N	BAY
KCP 6.3.1/01	Heinemann, O.	2001	<i>Determination of residues of JAU 6476-Desthio on spring wheat following seed treatment of JAU 6476 200 FS in Great Britain, Germany and France</i> Bayer AG, Report No.: RA-2010/99 GLP Unpublished	N	BAY

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltos 100 FS

Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment

zRMS version

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Owner
KCP 6.3.1/02	Heinemann, O.	2001	<i>Determination of residues of JAU 6476-desthio on spring wheat following seed treatment of JAU 6476 200 FS in Germany and France</i> Bayer AG, Report No.: RA-2091/00 GLP Unpublished	N	BAY
KCP 6.3.1/03	Heinemann, O.	2001	<i>Determination of residues of JAU 6476-desthio on spring wheat and winter wheat following seed treatment of JAU 6476 200 FS and spray application of JAU 6476 250 EC in Germany, Northern France, and Great Britain</i> Bayer AG, Report No.: RA-2003/99 GLP Unpublished	N	BAY
KCP 6.3.1/04	Heinemann, O.	2001	<i>Determination of residues of JAU 6476-desthio on spring barley following seed treatment of JAU 6476 200 FS and spray application of JAU 6476 250 EC in Germany</i> Bayer AG, Report No.: RA-2150/98 GLP Unpublished	N	BAY
KCP 6.3.1/05	Heinemann, O., Elke, K.	2001	<i>Determination of residues of JAU 6476-desthio on spring barley following seed treatment of JAU 6476 200 FS and spray application of JAU 6476 250 EC in Germany, France and Great Britain</i> Bayer AG, Report No.: RA-2140/98 GLP Unpublished	N	BAY
KCP 6.4.1/01	Heinemann, O. Auer, S.	2001	<i>JAU 6476-desthio - Dairy cattle feeding study</i> Bayer AG, Report No.: MR-535/00, Report includes Trial Nos.: P 673003007 GLP Unpublished	N	BAY
KCP 6.6.1/01	Haas, M.	2001	<i>Confined rotational crop study with JAU6476</i> Bayer AG, Report No.: MR-159/00 GLP	N	BAY

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
zRMS version

Data point	Author(s)	Year	Title Company Report No. Source (where different from company) GLP or GEP status Published or not	Vertebrate study Y/N	Owner
			Unpublished		

Appendix 2 Detailed evaluation of the additional studies relied upon

A 2.1.1 Stability of residues

A 2.1.1.1 Stability of residues during storage of samples

A 2.1.1.1.1 Storage stability of residues in plant products

No new studies submitted

A 2.1.1.1.2 Storage stability of residues in animal products

No new studies submitted

A 2.1.2 Nature of residues in plants, livestock and processed commodities

A 2.1.2.1 Nature of residue in plants

No new studies submitted

A 2.1.2.1.1 Nature of residue in primary crops

No new studies submitted

A 2.1.2.1.2 Nature of residue in rotational crops

No new studies submitted

A 2.1.3 Magnitude of residues in plants

A 2.1.3.1.1.1 Study 1

Comments of zRMS:	Study evaluated and accepted in Core Dossier (2022) and National Addendum (2024).
-------------------	---

Reference: KCA 6.3/01

Report Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring barley (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS - one decline curve study trial in Germany – 2021,

	Peda, T., Jędrusik, M., 21SGS97, DPL/87/2021 SGS, Poland
Guideline(s):	Regulations (EU) 283/2013 and 284/2013 implementing Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC Commission Working Document 7029/VI/95 Rev. 5, General Recommendations for the Design, Preparation and Realization of Residue Trials, July 22, 1997 OECD Guideline for the testing of chemicals on Crop Field Trial (TG 509 published in September 2009) SANTE/2020/12830 Rev.1, 24 February 2021
Deviations:	Yes
GLP:	Yes
Acceptability:	Yes

Objective of the study

The objective of the study is to determine the magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring barley (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS.

Field phase description

One decline curve study trial (DCS) was established in Germany. Trial consisted of one untreated plot U and one treated plot T. Environmental conditions did not alter the normal growth, development and maturity of the crop at the trial site to such a degree as to have negative impact on the integrity and validity of this study.

Untreated seeds were sown before treated seeds on 25/05/2021. CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS was mixed with water. The target dose rate of the test item according to study plan was 1 l/t equivalent to 0,100 kg a. s./t and 0,025 kg a. s./ha considering drilling rate of 250 kg seeds/ha. Target drilling rate according to Study Plan was 250 kg/ha, actually it was 264,851 kg/ha (deviation +5,9%) for untreated seeds and 272,885 kg/ha (deviation +9,1%) for treated seeds

RAC specimens for analyses were collected:

- S1 (seeds) – BBCH 0- before application
- S2 (seeds) – BBCH 0- just after application
- S3 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 21
- S4 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 41
- S5 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 59
- S6 (grain, straw) – BBCH 89/CH

Quality control measures were taken to maintain specimen integrity and to avoid contamination at the trial site. RAC specimens were put in deep freezing conditions at a target temperature of $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ on the day of sampling, within 12 hours after sampling.

All specimens remained deep frozen during storage at the test site, during shipment to the laboratory.

Deviations

Freezer failure. Specimens number: 21SGS97-01 4; 21SGS97-01 5; 21SGS97-01 6 were lost. Retain specimens were sent to analysis: 21SGS97-01 4R; 21SGS97-01 5R; 21SGS97-01 6R.

Initial sample preparation and homogenisation

The field specimens arrived at the Test Site in good conditions, frozen and were stored in a freezer at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ before analysis. After removal from the freezer the samples were homogenized at Test Site, using a knife grinder. The homogenized samples were divided into few portions: one portion was used as test sample in this study (DPL/87/2021), other portions were prepared as archival samples and the rest of the homogenized material was kept for use as a reference matrix, e.g. for method validation studies or freezer storage stability studies. The homogenized specimens were further stored at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ until beginning of analysis.

Extraction

5 g (grain, plant)/ 2 g (straw) of the homogenized sample was weighed into a 50 mL centrifuge tube. 10 mL of water and 10 mL of acidified methanol (with 1 % of HCOOH) was added together with 50 μL of internal standard solution (IS WS I), and the mixture was shaken vigorously by hand for one minute, then centrifuged at 4700 rpm for 10 min for phase separation and finally subjected to a freezing process at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 h. After that, extract was filtered through a membrane filter and the final extract was directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis.

Fortification and control samples

For analytical sequence one sample blank matrix and two procedural recoveries at the level of LOQ and two at the level 10 x LOQ were prepared together with the study samples.

Table 3. Preparation of fortification and control samples – grain and plant

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [μL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [μL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	50.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	50.0	-

Table 4. Preparation of fortification and control samples – straw

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [μL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [μL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	20.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	20.0	-

Extraction of all field samples (treated and untreated), as well as control and fortified samples was performed on 18.03.2022 (whole plant) and 20.03.2022 (grain, straw) and after that the samples were directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis, that was started on the same day.

Analysis

The extracts were analyzed using liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry, by single extraction and single injection to the detection system. Final extracts were employed for LC-MS/MS analysis directly after completion of the extraction procedure (on the same day). Data acquisition was carried out in the MRM mode. The analysis was performed using internal standard addition.

For each analyte, one mass transitions were evaluated and used for quantification. Representative chromatograms are shown in this report. A second (and third) mass transition was monitored for confirmation of peak identity but was not used for quantification.

Results

Table 12 Residue concentrations of triazole derivative metabolites detected in analyzed field samples (Study No.: 21SG97, Trial No.: 21SGS97-01 Decline Curve Study)

No	Timing	Study sample identification	Type of commodity	Sample number given by the laboratory	Result [mg/kg]			
					triazole-acetic acid TAA	triazole-alanine TA	triazole-lactic acid TLA	1,2,4-triazole 1,2,4-T
1	Before application	21SGS97-01 1	barley (seed)	DPL/87/2021/01U	0.38	0.46	0.016	< LOD
2	Just after application	21SGS97-01 2	barley (seed)	DPL/87/2021/02T	0.33	0.47	0.015	< LOD
3	BBCH 21	21SGS97-01 4R	barley (whole plant without root)	DPL/87/2021/04T	< LOD	0.029	0.018	< LOD
4	BBCH 41	21SGS97-01 5R	barley (whole plant without root)	DPL/87/2021/05T	< LOD	0.023	0.015	< LOD
5	BBCH 59	21SGS97-01 6R	barley (whole plant without root)	DPL/87/2021/06T	0.015	0.015	0.031	< LOD
6	BBCH89/CH	21SGS97-01 7	barley (grain)	DPL/87/2021/07U	0.074	0.089	< LOD	0.011
7		21SGS97-01 8	barley (grain)	DPL/87/2021/08T	0.076	0.12	< LOD	< LOD
8		21SGS97-01 9	barley (straw)	DPL/87/2021/09U	0.054	0.030	0.018	0.025
9		21SGS97-01 10	barley (straw)	DPL/87/2021/10T	0.036	0.028	0.013	0.021

BBCH- According to BBCH Scale, CH- Commercial Harvest
 Residues are not corrected for procedural recoveries;
 Calculation based on unrounded values

Conclusions

The method was validated according to SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines.

The results acquired during validation of the analytical method (accuracy and repeatability) were in the range of 70 – 120%.

The limit of detection (LOD) that was expressed as the lowest calibration standard and limit of quantification (LOQ) of the analytical method was established at 0.010 mg/kg for each of compounds (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) in barley.

There were no interfering signals at retention time of analyzed compound in examined control matrix.

The analytical method for determining the residues of triazole derivative metabolites (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) meets the criteria of SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines in terms of precision, accuracy and uncertainty.

A 2.1.3.1.1.2 Study 2

Comments of zRMS:	Study evaluated and accepted in Core Dossier (2022) and National Addendum (2024).
-------------------	---

Reference: KCA 6.3/02

Report Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring barley (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS - one decline curve study trial in Denmark – 2021, Peda, T., Jędrusik, M., 21SGS98, DPL/88/2021 SGS, Poland

Guideline(s): Regulations (EU) 283/2013 and 284/2013 implementing Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC
 Commission Working Document 7029/VI/95 Rev. 5, General

Recommendations for the Design, Preparation and Realization of Residue Trials, July 22, 1997
 OECD Guideline for the testing of chemicals on Crop Field Trial (TG 509 published in September 2009)
 SANTE/2020/12830 Rev.1, 24 February 2021

Deviations: Yes
 GLP: Yes
 Acceptability: Yes

Objective of the study

The objective of the study is to determine the magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring barley (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS.

Field phase description

One decline curve study trial (DCS) was established in Denmark. Trial consisted of one untreated plot U and one treated plot T. Environmental conditions did not alter the normal growth, development and maturity of the crop at the trial site to such a degree as to have negative impact on the integrity and validity of this study.

Untreated seeds were sown before treated seeds on 28/04/2021. CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS was mixed with water. The target dose rate of the test item according to study plan was 1 l/t equivalent to 0,100 kg a. s./t and 0,025 kg a. s./ha considering drilling rate of 250 kg seeds/ha. Target drilling rate according to Study Plan was 250 kg/ha, actually it was 241,016 kg/ha (deviation -3,7%) for treated seeds and 242,036 kg/ha (deviation -3,3%) for untreated seeds.

RAC specimens for analyses were collected:

- S1 (seeds) – BBCH 0- before application
- S2 (seeds) – BBCH 0- just after application
- S3 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 21
- S4 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 41
- S5 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 59
- S6 (grain, straw) – BBCH 89/CH

Quality control measures were taken to maintain specimen integrity and to avoid contamination at the trial site. RAC specimens were put in deep freezing conditions at a target temperature of $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ on the day of sampling, within 12 hours after sampling.

All specimens remained deep frozen during storage at the test site, during shipment to the laboratory.

Deviations

Freezer failure. Specimens number: 21SGS98-01 4; 21SGS98-01 5; 21SGS98-01 6 were lost. Retain specimens were sent to analysis: 21SGS98-01 4R; 21SGS98-01 5R; 21SGS98-01 6R.

Initial sample preparation and homogenisation

The field specimens arrived at the Test Site in good conditions, frozen and were stored in a freezer at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ before analysis. After removal from the freezer the samples were homogenized at Test Site, using a knife grinder. The homogenized samples were divided into few portions: one portion was used as test sample in this study (DPL/88/2021), other portions were prepared as archival samples and the rest of the homogenized material was kept for use as a reference matrix, e.g. for method validation studies or freezer

storage stability studies. The homogenized specimens were further stored at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ until beginning of analysis.

Extraction

5 g (grain, plant)/ 2 g (straw) of the homogenized sample was weighed into a 50 mL centrifuge tube. 10 mL of water and 10 mL of acidified methanol (with 1 % of HCOOH) was added together with 50 μL of internal standard solution (IS WS I), and the mixture was shaken vigorously by hand for one minute, then centrifuged at 4700 rpm for 10 min for phase separation and finally subjected to a freezing process at $\leq 18^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 h. After that, extract was filtered through a membrane filter and the final extract was directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis.

Fortification and control samples

For analytical sequence one sample blank matrix and two procedural recoveries at the level of LOQ and two at the level 10 x LOQ were prepared together with the study samples.

Table 3. Preparation of fortification and control samples – grain and plant

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [μL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [μL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	50.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	50.0	-

Table 4. Preparation of fortification and control samples – straw

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [μL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [μL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	20.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	20.0	-

Extraction of all field samples (treated and untreated), as well as control and fortified samples was performed on 18.03.2022 (whole plant) and 20.03.2022 (grain, straw) and after that the samples were directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis, that was started on the same day.

Analysis

The extracts were analyzed using liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry, by single extraction and single injection to the detection system. Final extracts were employed for LC-MS/MS analysis directly after completion of the extraction procedure (on the same day). Data acquisition was carried out in the MRM mode. The analysis was performed using internal standard addition.

For each analyte, one mass transitions were evaluated and used for quantification. Representative chromatograms are shown in this report. A second (and third) mass transition was monitored for confirmation of peak identity but was not used for quantification.

Results

Table 12 Residue concentrations of triazole derivative metabolites detected in analyzed field samples (Study No.: 21SGS98, Trial No.: 21SGS98-01 Decline Curve Study)

No	Timing	Study sample identification	Type of commodity	Sample number given by the laboratory	Result [mg/kg]			
					triazole-acetic acid TAA	triazole-alanine TA	triazole-lactic acid TLA	1,2,4-triazole 1,2,4-T
1	Before application	21SGS98-01 1	barley (seeds)	DPL/88/2021/01U	0.26	0.36	< LOQ	< LOD
2	Just after application	21SGS98-01 2	barley (seeds)	DPL/88/2021/02T	0.24	0.30	< LOD	< LOD
3	BBCH 21	21SGS98-01 4R	barley (whole plant without root)	DPL/88/2021/04T	0.017	0.14	0.32	< LOD
4	BBCH 41	21SGS98-01 5R	barley (whole plant without root)	DPL/88/2021/05T	0.069	0.084	0.20	< LOD
5	BBCH 59	21SGS98-01 6R	barley (whole plant without root)	DPL/88/2021/06T	0.074	0.047	0.11	< LOD
6	BBCH89/CH	21SGS98-01 7	barley (grain)	DPL/88/2021/07U	0.36	0.33	< LOD	< LOD
7		21SGS98-01 8	barley (grain)	DPL/88/2021/08T	0.31	0.22	< LOD	< LOD
8		21SGS98-01 9	barley (straw)	DPL/88/2021/09U	0.096	0.041	0.032	0.019
9		21SGS98-01 10	barley (straw)	DPL/88/2021/10T	0.17	0.092	0.024	0.027

BBCH- According to BBCH Scale, CH- Commercial Harvest
 Residues are not corrected for procedural recoveries;
 Calculation based on unrounded values

Conclusions

The method was validated according to SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines.

The results acquired during validation of the analytical method (accuracy and repeatability) were in the range of 70 – 120%.

The limit of detection (LOD) that was expressed as the lowest calibration standard and limit of quantification (LOQ) of the analytical method was established at 0.010 mg/kg for each of compounds (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) in barley.

There were no interfering signals at retention time of analyzed compound in examined control matrix.

The analytical method for determining the residues of triazole derivative metabolites (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) meets the criteria of SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines in terms of precision, accuracy and uncertainty.

A 2.1.3.1.1.3 Study 3

Comments of zRMS:	Study evaluated and accepted in Core Dossier (2022) and National Addendum (2024).
-------------------	---

Reference: KCA 6.3/03

Report Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole(1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring wheat(Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS - one harvest study trial in Germany – 2021, Peda, T., Jędrusik, M., 21SGS99, DPL/91/2021 SGS, Poland

Guideline(s): Regulations (EU) 283/2013 and 284/2013 implementing Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC
 Commission Working Document 7029/VI/95 Rev. 5, General Recommendations for the Design, Preparation and Realization of Residue Trials, July 22, 1997

OECD Guideline for the testing of chemicals on Crop Field Trial (TG 509 published in September 2009)
 SANTE/2020/12830 Rev.1, 24 February 2021

Deviations: Yes (study is not affected)
 GLP: Yes
 Acceptability: Yes

Objective of the study

The objective of the study is to determine the magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring wheat (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS.

Field phase description

One single harvest study trial (HS) was established in Germany. Trial consisted of one untreated plot U and one treated plot T. Environmental conditions did not alter the normal growth, development and maturity of the crop at the trial site to such a degree as to have negative impact on the integrity and validity of this study.

Untreated seeds were sown before treated seeds on 20/04/2021. CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS was mixed with water. The target dose rate of the test item according to study plan was 1 l/t equivalent to 0,100 kg a. s./t and 0,025 kg a. s./ha considering drilling rate of 250 kg seeds/ha. Target drilling rate according to Study Plan was 250 kg/ha, actually it was 250 kg/ha (deviation 0,0%) for treated seeds and 250 kg/ha (deviation 0,0%) for untreated seeds.

RAC specimens for analyses were collected:

- S1 (seeds) – BBCH 0- before application
- S2 (seeds) – BBCH 0- just after application
- S3 (grain, straw) – BBCH 89/CH

Quality control measures were taken to maintain specimen integrity and to avoid contamination at the trial site. RAC specimens were put in deep freezing conditions at a target temperature of $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ on the day of sampling, within 12 hours after sampling.

All specimens remained deep frozen during storage at the test site, during shipment to the laboratory.

Deviations

Freezer failure. Specimens number: 21SGS99-01 4; 21SGS99-01 5; 21SGS99-01 6 were lost. Retain specimens were sent to analysis: 21SGS99-01 4R; 21SGS99-01 5R; 21SGS99-01 6R.

Initial sample preparation and homogenisation

The field specimens arrived at the Test Site in good conditions, frozen and were stored in a freezer at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ before analysis. After removal from the freezer the samples were homogenized at Test Site, using a knife grinder. The homogenized samples were divided into few portions: one portion was used as test sample in this study (DPL/91/2021), other portions were prepared as archival samples and the rest of the homogenized material was kept for use as a reference matrix, e.g. for method validation studies or freezer storage stability studies. The homogenized specimens were further stored at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ until beginning of analysis.

Extraction

5 g (grain)/ 2 g (straw) of the homogenized sample was weighed into a 50 mL centrifuge tube. 10 mL of water and 10 mL of acidified methanol (with 1 % of HCOOH) was added together with 50 µL of internal standard solution (IS WS I), and the mixture was shaken vigorously by hand for one minute, then centrifuged at 4700 rpm for 10 min for phase separation and finally subjected to a freezing process at $\leq 18^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 h. After that, extract was filtered through a membrane filter and the final extract was directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis.

Fortification and control samples

For analytical sequence one sample blank matrix and two procedural recoveries at the level of LOQ and two at the level 10 x LOQ were prepared together with the study samples.

Table 3. Preparation of fortification and control samples - grain

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [µL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [µL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	50.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	50.0	-

Table 4. Preparation of fortification and control samples - straw

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [µL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [µL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	20.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	20.0	-

Extraction of all field samples (treated and untreated), as well as control and fortified samples was performed on 19.03.2022 (grain) and 20.03.2022 (straw) and after that the samples were directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis, that was started on the same day.

Analysis

The extracts were analyzed using liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry, by single extraction and single injection to the detection system. Final extracts were employed for LC-MS/MS analysis directly after completion of the extraction procedure (on the same day). Data acquisition was carried out in the MRM mode. The analysis was performed using internal standard addition.

For each analyte, one mass transitions were evaluated and used for quantification. Representative chromatograms are shown in this report. A second (and third) mass transition was monitored for confirmation of peak identity but was not used for quantification.

Results

Table 12. Residue concentrations of triazole derivative metabolites detected in analyzed field samples (Study No.: 21SGS99, Trial No.: 21SGS99-01 Harvest Trial)

No	Timing	Study sample identification	Type of commodity	Sample number given by the laboratory	Result [mg/kg]			
					triazole-acetic acid TAA	triazole-alanine TA	triazole-lactic acid TLA	1,2,4-triazole 1,2,4-T
1	Before application	21SGS99-01 1	wheat (seeds)	DPL/91/2021/01U	0.18	0.46	< LOD	< LOD
2	Just after application	21SGS99-01 2	wheat (seeds)	DPL/91/2021/02T	0.17	0.47	< LOD	< LOD
3	BBCH89/CH	21SGS99-01 5	wheat (grain)	DPL/91/2021/05U	0.015	0.039	< LOD	< LOD
4		21SGS99-01 6	wheat (grain)	DPL/91/2021/06T	0.012	0.030	< LOD	< LOD
5		21SGS99-01 7	wheat (straw)	DPL/91/2021/07U	< LOQ	0.019	0.017	0.033
6		21SGS99-01 8	wheat (straw)	DPL/91/2021/08T	< LOQ	0.020	0.016	0.030

Residues are not corrected for procedural recoveries;
 Calculation based on unrounded values

Conclusions

The method was validated according to SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines.

The results acquired during validation of the analytical method (accuracy and repeatability) were in the range of 70 – 120%.

The limit of detection (LOD) that was expressed as the lowest calibration standard and limit of quantification (LOQ) of the analytical method was established at 0.010 mg/kg for each of compounds (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) in barley.

There were no interfering signals at retention time of analyzed compound in examined control matrix.

The analytical method for determining the residues of triazole derivative metabolites (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) meets the criteria of SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines in terms of precision, accuracy and uncertainty.

A 2.1.3.1.1.4 Study 4

Comments of zRMS:	Study evaluated and accepted in Core Dossier (2022) and National Addendum (2024).
-------------------	---

Reference: KCA 6.3/04

Report Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring barley (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – one harvest study trial in Poland - 2021, Peda, T., Niewelt-Stasiak, S., 21SGS101, DPL/89/2021 SGS, Poland

Guideline(s): Regulations (EU) 283/2013 and 284/2013 implementing Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC
 Commission Working Document 7029/VI/95 Rev. 5, General Recommendations for the Design, Preparation and Realization of Residue Trials, July 22, 1997
 OECD Guideline for the testing of chemicals on Crop Field Trial (TG 509 published in September 2009)
 SANTE/2020/12830 Rev.1, 24 February 2021

Deviations:	No
GLP:	Yes
Acceptability:	Yes

Objective of the study

The objective of the study was to determine of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring barley after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS.

Field phase description

One decline curve study trial (DCS) was established in Poland. Trial consisted of one untreated plot U and one treated plot T. Environmental conditions did not alter the normal growth, development and maturity of the crop at the trial site to such a degree as to have negative impact on the integrity and validity of this study.

Untreated seeds were sown before treated seeds on 22/04/2021. CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS was mixed with water. The target dose rate of the test item according to study plan was 1 l/t equivalent to 0,100 kg a. s./t and 0,025 kg a. s./ha considering drilling rate of 250 kg seeds/ha. Target drilling rate according to Study Plan was 250 kg/ha, actually it was 250 kg/ha (deviation 0,0%) for treated seeds and 250 kg/ha (deviation 0,0%) for untreated seeds.

RAC specimens for analyses were collected:

- S1 (seeds) – BBCH 0- before application
- S2 (seeds) – BBCH 0- just after application
- S3 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 21
- S4 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 41
- S5 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 59
- S6 (grain, straw) – BBCH 89/CH

Quality control measures were taken to maintain specimen integrity and to avoid contamination at the trial site. RAC specimens were put in deep freezing conditions at a target temperature of $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ on the day of sampling, within 12 hours after sampling.

All specimens remained deep frozen during storage at the test site, during shipment to the laboratory.

Deviations

None.

Initial sample preparation and homogenisation

The field specimens arrived at the Test Site in good conditions, frozen and were stored in a freezer at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ before analysis. After removal from the freezer the samples were homogenized at Test Site, using a knife grinder. The homogenized samples were divided into few portions: one portion was used as test sample in this study (DPL/89/2021), other portions were prepared as archival samples and the rest of the homogenized material was kept for use as a reference matrix, e.g. for method validation studies or freezer storage stability studies. The homogenized specimens were further stored at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ until beginning of analysis.

Extraction

5 g (grain)/ 2 g (straw) of the homogenized sample was weighed into a 50 mL centrifuge tube. 10 mL of water and 10 mL of acidified methanol (with 1 % of HCOOH) was added together with 50 µL of internal standard solution (IS WS I), and the mixture was shaken vigorously by hand for one minute, then centrifuged at 4700 rpm for 10 min for phase separation and finally subjected to a freezing process at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 h. After that, extract was filtered through a membrane filter and the final extract was directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis.

Fortification and control samples

For analytical sequence one sample blank matrix and two procedural recoveries at the level of LOQ and two at the level 10 x LOQ were prepared together with the study samples.

Table 3. Preparation of fortification and control samples – grain

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [µL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [µL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	50.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	50.0	-

Table 4. Preparation of fortification and control samples – straw

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [µL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [µL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	20.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	20.0	-

Extraction of all field samples (treated and untreated), as well as control and fortified samples was performed on 20.03.2022 (grain and straw) and after that the samples were directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis, that was started on the same day.

Analysis

The extracts were analyzed using liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry, by single extraction and single injection to the detection system. Final extracts were employed for LC-MS/MS analysis directly after completion of the extraction procedure (on the same day). Data acquisition was carried out in the MRM mode. The analysis was performed using internal standard addition. For each analyte, one mass transitions were evaluated and used for quantification. Representative chromatograms are shown in this report. A second (and third) mass transition was monitored for confirmation of peak identity but was not used for quantification.

Results

Table 12. Residue concentrations of triazole derivative metabolites detected in analyzed field samples (Study No.: 21SGS101, Trial No.: 21SGS101-01 Harvest Study)

No	Timing	Study sample identification	Type of commodity	Sample number given by the laboratory	Result [mg/kg]			
					triazole-acetic acid TAA	triazole-alanine TA	triazole-lactic acid TLA	1,2,4-triazole 1,2,4-T
1	S1 = Before application	21SGS101-01 1	barley (seeds)	DPL/89/2021/01U	0.51	0.57	0.016	< LOD
2	S2 = Just after application	21SGS101-01 2	barley (seeds)	DPL/89/2021/02T	0.49	0.57	0.016	< LOD
3	S3 = BBCH89/CH	21SGS101-01 5	barley (grain)	DPL/89/2021/05U	0.035	0.040	<LOD	< LOD
4		21SGS101-01 6	barley (grain)	DPL/89/2021/06T	0.041	0.058	<LOD	< LOD
5		21SGS101-01 7	barley (straw)	DPL/89/2021/07U	0.014	<LOD	<LOD	0.013
6		21SGS101-01 8	barley (straw)	DPL/89/2021/08T	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	0.010

CH- Commercial Harvest
 Residues are not corrected for procedural recoveries;
 Calculation based on unrounded values

Conclusions

The method was validated according to SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines.

The results acquired during validation of the analytical method (accuracy and repeatability) were in the range of 70 – 120%.

The limit of detection (LOD) that was expressed as the lowest calibration standard and limit of quantification (LOQ) of the analytical method was established at 0.010 mg/kg for each of compounds (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) in barley.

There were no interfering signals at retention time of analyzed compound in examined control matrix.

The analytical method for determining the residues of triazole derivative metabolites (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) meets the criteria of SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines in terms of precision, accuracy and uncertainty.

A 2.1.3.1.1.5 Study 5

Comments of zRMS:	Study evaluated and accepted in Core Dossier (2022) and National Addendum (2024).
-------------------	---

Reference: KCA 6.3/05

Report Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring barley (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – one decline curve study trial in Poland - 2021, Peda, T., Niewelt-Stasiak, S., 21SGS102, DPL/90/2021 SGS, Poland

Guideline(s): Regulations (EU) 283/2013 and 284/2013 implementing Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC
 Commission Working Document 7029/VI/95 Rev. 5, General Recommendations for the Design, Preparation and Realization of Residue Trials, July 22, 1997
 OECD Guideline for the testing of chemicals on Crop Field Trial (TG 509 published in September 2009)
 SANTE/2020/12830 Rev.1, 24 February 2021

Deviations: No

GLP: Yes
Acceptability: Yes

Objective of the study

The objective of the study was to determine of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring barley after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS.

Field phase description

One single harvest study trial (HS) was established in Poland. Trial consisted of one untreated plot U and one treated plot T. Environmental conditions did not alter the normal growth, development and maturity of the crop at the trial site to such a degree as to have negative impact on the integrity and validity of this study.

Untreated seeds were sown before treated seeds on 21/04/2021. CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS was mixed with water. The target dose rate of the test item according to study plan was 1 l/t equivalent to 0,100 kg a. s./t and 0,025 kg a. s./ha considering drilling rate of 250 kg seeds/ha. Target drilling rate according to Study Plan was 250 kg/ha, actually it was 262,22 kg/ha (deviation +4,9%) for treated seeds and 261,11 kg/ha (deviation +4,4%) for untreated seeds.

RAC specimens for analyses were collected:

- S1 (seeds) – BBCH 0- before application
- S2 (seeds) – BBCH 0- just after application
- S3 (grain, straw) – BBCH 89/CH

Quality control measures were taken to maintain specimen integrity and to avoid contamination at the trial site. RAC specimens were put in deep freezing conditions at a target temperature of $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ on the day of sampling, within 12 hours after sampling.

All specimens remained deep frozen during storage at the test site, during shipment to the laboratory.

Deviations

None.

Initial sample preparation and homogenisation

The field specimens arrived at the Test Site in good conditions, frozen and were stored in a freezer at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ before analysis. After removal from the freezer the samples were homogenized at Test Site, using a knife grinder. The homogenized samples were divided into few portions: one portion was used as test sample in this study (DPL/90/2021), other portions were prepared as archival samples and the rest of the homogenized material was kept for use as a reference matrix, e.g. for method validation studies or freezer storage stability studies. The homogenized specimens were further stored at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ until beginning of analysis.

Extraction

5 g (grain)/ 2 g (straw) of the homogenized sample was weighed into a 50 mL centrifuge tube. 10 mL of water and 10 mL of acidified methanol (with 1 % of HCOOH) was added together with 50 µL of internal standard solution (IS WS I), and the mixture was shaken vigorously by hand for one minute, then centrifuged at 4700 rpm for 10 min for phase separation and finally subjected to a freezing process at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 h. After that, extract was filtered through a membrane filter and the final extract was directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis.

Fortification and control samples

For analytical sequence one sample blank matrix and two procedural recoveries at the level of LOQ and two at the level 10 x LOQ were prepared together with the study samples.

Table 3. Preparation of fortification and control samples – grain

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [µL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [µL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	50.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	50.0	-

Table 4. Preparation of fortification and control samples – straw

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [µL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [µL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	20.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	20.0	-

Extraction of all field samples (treated and untreated), as well as control and fortified samples was performed on 20.03.2022 (grain and straw) and after that the samples were directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis, that was started on the same day.

Analysis

The extracts were analyzed using liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry, by single extraction and single injection to the detection system. Final extracts were employed for LC-MS/MS analysis directly after completion of the extraction procedure (on the same day). Data acquisition was carried out in the MRM mode. The analysis was performed using internal standard addition. For each analyte, one mass transitions were evaluated and used for quantification. Representative chromatograms are shown in this report. A second (and third) mass transition was monitored for confirmation of peak identity but was not used for quantification.

Results

Table 12. Residue concentrations of triazole derivative metabolites detected in analyzed field samples (Study No.: 21SGS102, Trial No.: 21SGS102-01 Harvest Study)

No	Timing	Study sample identification	Type of commodity	Sample number given by the laboratory	Result [mg/kg]			
					triazole-acetic acid TAA	triazole-alanine TA	triazole-lactic acid TLA	1,2,4-triazole 1,2,4-T
1	S1 = Before application	21SGS102-01 1	barley (seeds)	DPL/90/2021/01U	0.47	0.56	0.016	< LOD
2	S2 = Just after application	21SGS102-01 2	barley (seeds)	DPL/90/2021/02T	0.84	0.46	0.035	< LOQ
3	S3 = BBCH89/CH	21SGS102-01 5	barley (grain)	DPL/90/2021/05U	0.54	0.26	0.028	< LOQ
4		21SGS102-01 6	barley (grain)	DPL/90/2021/06T	0.51	0.59	0.015	< LOQ
5		21SGS102-01 7	barley (straw)	DPL/90/2021/07U	0.22	0.010	0.24	<LOD
6		21SGS102-01 8	barley (straw)	DPL/90/2021/08T	0.29	0.012	0.25	<LOD

CH- Commercial Harvest
 Residues are not corrected for procedural recoveries;
 Calculation based on unrounded values

Conclusions

The method was validated according to SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines.

The results acquired during validation of the analytical method (accuracy and repeatability) were in the range of 70 – 120%.

The limit of detection (LOD) that was expressed as the lowest calibration standard and limit of quantification (LOQ) of the analytical method was established at 0.010 mg/kg for each of compounds (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) in barley.

There were no interfering signals at retention time of analyzed compound in examined control matrix.

The analytical method for determining the residues of triazole derivative metabolites (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) meets the criteria of SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines in terms of precision, accuracy and uncertainty.

A 2.1.3.1.1.6 Study 6

Comments of zRMS:	Study evaluated and accepted in Core Dossier (2022) and National Addendum (2024).
-------------------	---

Reference: KCA 6.3/06

Report Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring wheat (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS - one decline curve study trial in Poland – 2021, Peda, T., Jędrusik, M., 21SGS103, DPL/93/2021 SGS, Poland

Guideline(s): Regulations (EU) 283/2013 and 284/2013 implementing Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC
 Commission Working Document 7029/VI/95 Rev. 5, General Recommendations for the Design, Preparation and Realization of Residue Trials, July 22, 1997
 OECD Guideline for the testing of chemicals on Crop Field Trial (TG 509 published in September 2009)
 SANTE/2020/12830 Rev.1, 24 February 2021

Deviations: No

GLP: Yes
Acceptability: Yes

Objective of the study

The objective of the study is to determine magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring wheat (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS.

Field phase description

One decline curve study trial (DCS) was established in Poland. Trial consisted of one untreated plot U and one treated plot T. Environmental conditions did not alter the normal growth, development and maturity of the crop at the trial site to such a degree as to have negative impact on the integrity and validity of this study.

Untreated seeds were sown before treated seeds on 28/04/2021. CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS was mixed with water. The target dose rate of the test item according to study plan was 1 l/t equivalent to 0,100 kg a. s./t and 0,025 kg a. s./ha considering drilling rate of 250 kg seeds/ha. Target drilling rate according to Study Plan was 250 kg/ha, actually it was 250 kg/ha (deviation 0,0%) for treated seeds and 250 kg/ha (deviation 0,0%) for untreated seeds.

RAC specimens for analyses were collected:

- S1 (seeds) – BBCH 0- before application
- S2 (seeds) – BBCH 0- just after application
- S3 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 21
- S4 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 41
- S5 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 59
- S6 (grain, straw) – BBCH 89/CH

Quality control measures were taken to maintain specimen integrity and to avoid contamination at the trial site. RAC specimens were put in deep freezing conditions at a target temperature of $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ on the day of sampling, within 12 hours after sampling.

All specimens remained deep frozen during storage at the test site, during shipment to the laboratory.

Deviations

None.

Initial sample preparation and homogenisation

The field specimens arrived at the Test Site in good conditions, frozen and were stored in a freezer at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ before analysis. After removal from the freezer the samples were homogenized at Test Site, using a knife grinder. The homogenized samples were divided into few portions: one portion was used as test sample in this study (DPL/93/2021), other portions were prepared as archival samples and the rest of the homogenized material was kept for use as a reference matrix, e.g. for method validation studies or freezer storage stability studies. The homogenized specimens were further stored at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ until beginning of analysis.

Extraction

5 g (grain, plant)/ 2 g (straw) of the homogenized sample was weighed into a 50 mL centrifuge tube. 10 mL of water and 10 mL of acidified methanol (with 1 % of HCOOH) was added together with 50 µL of internal standard solution (IS WS I), and the mixture was shaken vigorously by hand for one minute, then centrifuged at 4700 rpm for 10 min for phase separation and finally subjected to a freezing process at $\leq 18^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 h. After that, extract was filtered through a membrane filter and the final extract was directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis.

Fortification and control samples

For analytical sequence one sample blank matrix and two procedural recoveries at the level of LOQ and two at the level 10 x LOQ were prepared together with the study samples.

Table 3. Preparation of fortification and control samples – grain and plant

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [µL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [µL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	50.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	50.0	-

Table 4. Preparation of fortification and control samples – straw

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [µL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [µL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	20.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	20.0	-

Extraction of all field samples (treated and untreated), as well as control and fortified samples was performed on 18.03.2022 (whole plant), 19.03.2022 (grain), and 20.03.2022 (straw) and after that the samples were directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis, that was started on the same day.

Analysis

The extracts were analyzed using liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry, by single extraction and single injection to the detection system. Final extracts were employed for LC-MS/MS analysis directly after completion of the extraction procedure (on the same day). Data acquisition was carried out in the MRM mode. The analysis was performed using internal standard addition. For each analyte, one mass transitions were evaluated and used for quantification. Representative chromatograms are shown in this report. A second (and third) mass transition was monitored for confirmation of peak identity but was not used for quantification.

Results

Table 12 Residue concentrations of triazole derivative metabolites detected in analyzed field samples (Study No.: 21SGS103, Trial No.: 21SGS103-01 Decline Curve Study)

No	Timing	Study sample identification	Type of commodity	Sample number given by the laboratory	Result [mg/kg]			
					triazole-acetic acid TAA	triazole-alanine TA	triazole-lactic acid TLA	1,2,4-triazole 1,2,4-T
1	Before application	21SGS103-01 1	wheat (seeds)	DPL/93/2021/01U	0.14	0.38	< LOD	< LOD
2	Just after application	21SGS103-01 2	wheat (seeds)	DPL/93/2021/02T	0.24	0.64	< LOD	< LOD
3	BBCH 21	21SGS103-01 4	wheat (whole plant without root)	DPL/93/2021/04T	0.027	0.015	< LOD	< LOD
4	BBCH 41	21SGS103-01 5	wheat (whole plant without root)	DPL/93/2021/05T	0.027	0.016	< LOD	< LOD
5	BBCH 59	21SGS103-01 6	wheat (whole plant without root)	DPL/93/2021/06T	0.017	0.016	< LOD	< LOD
6	BBCH89/CH	21SGS103-01 7	wheat (grain)	DPL/93/2021/07U	0.027	0.082	< LOD	< LOD
7		21SGS103-01 8	wheat (grain)	DPL/93/2021/08T	0.053	0.14	< LOD	< LOD
8		21SGS103-01 9	wheat (straw)	DPL/93/2021/09U	0.013	< LOD	< LOQ	< LOD
9		21SGS103-01 10	wheat (straw)	DPL/93/2021/10T	0.018	0.018	0.011	0.011

BBCH- According to BBCH Scale, CH- Commercial Harvest
 Residues are not corrected for procedural recoveries;
 Calculation based on unrounded values

Conclusions

The method was validated according to SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines.

The results acquired during validation of the analytical method (accuracy and repeatability) were in the range of 70 – 120%.

The limit of detection (LOD) that was expressed as the lowest calibration standard and limit of quantification (LOQ) of the analytical method was established at 0.010 mg/kg for each of compounds (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) in barley.

There were no interfering signals at retention time of analyzed compound in examined control matrix.

The analytical method for determining the residues of triazole derivative metabolites (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) meets the criteria of SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines in terms of precision, accuracy and uncertainty.

A 2.1.3.1.1.7 Study 7

Comments of zRMS:	Study evaluated and accepted in Core Dossier (2022) and National Addendum (2024).
-------------------	---

Reference: KCA 6.3/07

Report Magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring wheat (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS - one decline curve study trial in Poland – 2021, Peda, T., Niewelt-Stasiak, S., 21SGS104, DPL/94/2021 SGS, Poland

Guideline(s): Regulations (EU) 283/2013 and 284/2013 implementing Regulation (EC) 1107/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 concerning the placing of plant protection products on the market and repealing Council Directives 79/117/EEC and 91/414/EEC
 Commission Working Document 7029/VI/95 Rev. 5, General Recommendations for the Design, Preparation and Realization of Residue Trials, July 22, 1997

OECD Guideline for the testing of chemicals on Crop Field Trial (TG 509 published in September 2009)
 SANTE/2020/12830 Rev.1, 24 February 2021

Deviations: No
 GLP: Yes
 Acceptability: Yes

Objective of the study

The objective of the study is to determine the magnitude of the residue of metabolites triazole alanine (TA), 1,2,4-triazole (1,2,4-T), triazole acetic acid (TAA) and triazole lactic acid (TLA) in spring wheat (Raw Agricultural Commodity) after one application of CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS - one decline curve study trial in Poland – 2021.

Field phase description

One decline curve study trial (DCS) was established in Poland. Trial consisted of one untreated plot U and one treated plot T. Environmental conditions did not alter the normal growth, development and maturity of the crop at the trial site to such a degree as to have negative impact on the integrity and validity of this study.

Untreated seeds were sown before treated seeds on 14/04/2021. CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS was mixed with water. The target dose rate of the test item according to study plan was 1 l/t equivalent to 0,100 kg a. s./t and 0,025 kg a. s./ha considering drilling rate of 250 kg seeds/ha. Target drilling rate according to Study Plan was 250 kg/ha, actually it was 229,111 kg/ha (deviation -8,4%) for treated seeds and 226,889 kg/ha (deviation -9,2%) for untreated seeds.

RAC specimens for analyses were collected:

- S1 (seeds) – BBCH 0- before application
- S2 (seeds) – BBCH 0- just after application
- S3 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 21
- S4 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 41
- S5 (whole plant without root) – BBCH 59
- S6 (grain, straw) – BBCH 89/CH

Quality control measures were taken to maintain specimen integrity and to avoid contamination at the trial site. RAC specimens were put in deep freezing conditions at a target temperature of $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ on the day of sampling, within 12 hours after sampling.

All specimens remained deep frozen during storage at the test site, during shipment to the laboratory.

Deviations

None.

Initial sample preparation and homogenisation

The field specimens arrived at the Test Site in good conditions, frozen and were stored in a freezer at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ before analysis. After removal from the freezer the samples were homogenized at Test Site, using a knife grinder. The homogenized samples were divided into few portions: one portion was used as test sample in this study (DPL/94/2021), other portions were prepared as archival samples and the rest of the homogenized material was kept for use as a reference matrix, e.g. for method validation studies or freezer storage stability studies. The homogenized specimens were further stored at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ until beginning of analysis.

Extraction

5 g (grain, plant)/ 2 g (straw) of the homogenized sample was weighed into a 50 mL centrifuge tube. 10 mL of water and 10 mL of acidified methanol (with 1 % of HCOOH) was added together with 50 µL of internal standard solution (IS WS I), and the mixture was shaken vigorously by hand for one minute, then centrifuged at 4700 rpm for 10 min for phase separation and finally subjected to a freezing process at $\leq -18^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 h. After that, extract was filtered through a membrane filter and the final extract was directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis.

Fortification and control samples

For analytical sequence one sample blank matrix and two procedural recoveries at the level of LOQ and two at the level 10 x LOQ were prepared together with the study samples.

Table 3. Preparation of fortification and control samples – grain and plant

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [µL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [µL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	50.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	50.0	-

Table 4. Preparation of fortification and control samples – straw

Fortification level	Amount of standard solution 1.1 added [µL]	Amount of standard solution 1.3 added [µL]
Matrix blank	-	-
PK 0.010 mg/kg (LOQ)	-	20.0
PK 0.10 mg/kg (10 x LOQ)	20.0	-

Extraction of all field samples (treated and untreated), as well as control and fortified samples was performed on 11.03.2022 (whole plant), 19.03.2022 (grain), and 20.03.2022 (straw) and after that the samples were directly employed for LC-MS/MS analysis, that was started on the same day.

Analysis

The extracts were analyzed using liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry, by single extraction and single injection to the detection system. Final extracts were employed for LC-MS/MS analysis directly after completion of the extraction procedure (on the same day). Data acquisition was carried out in the MRM mode. The analysis was performed using internal standard addition. For each analyte, one mass transitions were evaluated and used for quantification. Representative chromatograms are shown in this report. A second (and third) mass transition was monitored for confirmation of peak identity but was not used for quantification.

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltis 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

Results

Table 12 Residue concentrations of tebuconazole and triazole derivative metabolites detected in analyzed field samples (Study No.: 21SGS104, Trial No.: 21SGS104-01 Decline Curve Study)

No	Timing	Study sample identification	Type of commodity	Sample number given by the laboratory	Result [mg/kg]			
					triazole-acetic acid TAA	triazole-alanine TA	triazole-lactic acid TLA	1,2,4-triazole 1,2,4-T
1	S1 = Before application	21SGS104-01 1	wheat (seeds)	DPL/94/2021/01U	0.15	0.37	< LOD	< LOD
2	S2 = Just after application	21SGS104-01 2	wheat (seeds)	DPL/94/2021/02T	0.24	0.68	< LOQ	< LOD
3	S3 = BBCH 21	21SGS104-01 4	wheat (whole plant without root)	DPL/94/2021/04T	0.040	0.022	0.023	< LOD
4	S4 = BBCH 41	21SGS104-01 5	wheat (whole plant without root)	DPL/94/2021/05T	0.012	0.023	0.012	< LOD
5	S5 = BBCH 59	21SGS104-01 6	wheat (whole plant without root)	DPL/94/2021/06T	<LOD	0.015	< LOD	< LOD
6	S6 = BBCH89/CH	21SGS104-01 7	wheat (grain)	DPL/94/2021/07U	0.11	0.24	< LOQ	< LOD
7		21SGS104-01 8	wheat (grain)	DPL/94/2021/08T	0.098	0.22	< LOQ	< LOD
8		21SGS104-01 9	wheat (straw)	DPL/94/2021/09U	0.048	< LOD	0.023	0.027
9		21SGS104-01 10	wheat (straw)	DPL/94/2021/10T	0.047	< LOD	0.022	0.022

BBCH- According to BBCH Scale, CH- Commercial Harvest
 Residues are not corrected for procedural recoveries;
 Calculation based on unrounded values.

Conclusions

The method was validated according to SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines.

The results acquired during validation of the analytical method (accuracy and repeatability) were in the range of 70 – 120%.

The limit of detection (LOD) that was expressed as the lowest calibration standard and limit of quantification (LOQ) of the analytical method was established at 0.010 mg/kg for each of compounds (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) in barley.

There were no interfering signals at retention time of analyzed compound in examined control matrix.

The analytical method for determining the residues of triazole derivative metabolites (TAA, TA, 1,2,4-T, TLA) meets the criteria of SANTE/2020/12830, Rev.1 guidelines in terms of precision, accuracy and uncertainty.

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

Summary results for all performed trials

Active Substance: Prothioconazole
Crop / crop group: Spring barley / Spring wheat
Zone: N-EU
Nominal Content of a.s.: 100 g/L
Formulation: FS

Commercial Product: CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS
Producer: PUH Chemirol Sp. z o. o
Indoor/outdoor: outdoor
Other active substance: -
Residue calculated for: Triazole derivative metabolites

1	2	3	4	5			6	7	8	9				10	11
Report No. 21SGS97	Commodity Variety	Date of 1-Sowing 2-Flowering 3-Harvest	Method of Treatment	Actual application rate			Date of Treatment	BBCH at Treatment	Commodity analysed	Residues (1)				DALA or CH (2)	Remarks
				Test item (l/t)	Water (L/100 kg)	kg (a. s./t)				triazole-acetic acid TAA	triazole-alanine TA	triazole-lactic acid TLA	1.2.4-triazole 1.2.4-T		
Germany Wallsbüll (Schleswig Holstein) Decline Curve Study Trial 21SGS97-01	Spring bar- ley Ella	1- 25/05/21 2- 17/07 to 18/07/21 3- 23/08/21	Seed dresser Concrete mixer	1,0	0,7	0.025	13/04/21	00	Seed Whole plant without root Grain Straw	0.33 < LOD < LOD 0.015 0.076 0.036	0.47 0.029 0.023 0.015 0.12 0.028	0.015 0.018 0.015 0.031 < LOD 0.013	< LOD < LOD < LOD < LOD < LOD	0 21 34 49 90 90	Analytical Method: LC-MS/MS Max. Storage In- terval between sampling and analysis: 341 days
Denmark Syddanmark	Spring bar- ley	1- 28/04/21	Seed dresser	1	0,7	0.025	13/04/21	00	Seed	0.24 0.017	0.36 0.14	< LOQ 0.32	< LOD < LOD	0 40	Analytical Method:

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltos 100 FS

Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment

zRMS version

(Røllum)	Paustian	2- 01/07 to 03/07/21	Concrete mixer						Whole plant without root	0.069	0.084	0.20	< LOD	51	LC-MS/MS
Decline Curve Study Trial 21SGS98-01		3- 23/08/21								0.074	0.047	0.11	< LOD	61	
									Grain	0.31	0.22	< LOD	< LOD	117	
									Straw	0.17	0.092	0.024	0.027	117	
Germany	Spring wheat	1- 20/04/21	Seed dresser	1,0	0,7	0.025	13/04/21	00	Seed	0.17	0.47	< LOD	< LOD	0	Analytical Method: LC-MS/MS
Emstek (Lower Sax- ony)	Mandaryna	2- 25/06 to 05/07/21	Concrete mixer						Grain	0.012	0.030	< LOD	< LOD	115	
Harvest Study Trial 21SGS99-01		3- 12/08 to 14/08/21							Straw	< LOQ	0.020	0.016	0.030	115	
Poland	Spring bar- ley	1- 22/04/21	Seed dresser	1,0	0,7	0.025	14/04/21	00	Seed	0.49	0.57	0.016	< LOD	0	Analytical Method: LC-MS/MS
Chwaśtnica (Dolnośląskie)	Propino	2- 15/06 to 21/06/21	Concrete mixer						Grain	0.041	0.058	< LOD	< LOD	109	
Harvest Study Trial 21SGS101-01		3- 09/08/21							Straw	< LOD	< LOD	< LOD	0.010	109	
Poland	Spring bar- ley	1- 21/04/21	Seed dresser	1,0	0,7	0.025	14/04/21	00	Seed	0.84	0.46	0.035	< LOQ	0	Analytical Method: LC-MS/MS
Kaczkowo (Kujawsko-po- morskie)	Dante	2- 04/06 to 13/06/21	Concrete mixer						Grain	0.51	0.59	0.015	< LOQ	104	
Harvest Study Trial 21SGS102-01		3- 03/08/21							Straw	0.29	0.012	0.25	< LOD	104	
Poland	Spring wheat	1- 28/04/21	Seed dresser	1,0	0,7	0.025	14/04/21	00	Seed	0.24	0.64	< LOD	< LOD	0	Analytical Method:

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltés 100 FS

Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment

zRMS version

Cebulki (Warmińsko- mazurskie) Decline Curve Study Trial 21SGS103-01	Torridon	2- 25/06 to 03/07/21	Concrete mixer						Whole plant without root	0.027	0.015	< LOD	< LOD	41	LC-MS/MS	
		3- 10/08/21								0.027	0.016	< LOD	< LOD	62		
										0.017	0.016	< LOD	< LOD	69		
										Grain	0.053	0.14	< LOD	< LOD		104
										Straw	0.018	0.018	0.011	0.011		104
Poland Zamarte (Kujawsko-po- morskie) Decline Curve Study Trial 21SGS104-01	Spring wheat	1- 14/04/21	Seed dresser	1,0	0,7	0.025	14/04/21	00	Seed	0.24	0.68	< LOQ	< LOD	0	Analytical Method: LC-MS/MS	
	Rospuda	2- 28/06 to 03/07/21	Concrete mixer						Whole plant without root	0.040	0.022	0.023	< LOD	43		
										0.012	0.023	0.012	< LOD	63		
										< LOD	0.015	< LOD	< LOD	71		
										Grain	0.098	0.22	< LOQ	< LOD		118
										Straw	0.047	< LOD	0.022	0.022		118

(1) LOQ = 0.010 mg/kg (2) PHI = DALA - days after last application; CH - commercial harvest

A 2.1.4 Magnitude of residues in livestock

No additional studies were performed.

A 2.1.5 Magnitude of residues in processed commodities (Industrial Processing and/or Household Preparation)

No additional studies were performed.

A 2.1.6 Magnitude of residues in representative succeeding crops

No additional studies were performed.

A 2.1.7 Other/Special Studies

No additional studies were performed.

Appendix 3 Pesticide Residue Intake Model (PRIMo)

A 3.1 TMDI calculations



European Food Safety Authority

EFSA PRIMo revision 3.1; 2019/03/19

Prothioconazole 100 FS (F)

LOGs (mg/kg) range from:

to:

Toxicological reference values

ADI (mg/kg bw/day):

0.05

ARfD (mg/kg bw):

0.2

Source of ADI:

Source of ARfD:

Year of evaluation:

Year of evaluation:

Input values

Details - chronic risk assessment

Supplementary results - chronic risk assessment

Details - acute risk assessment/children

Details - acute risk assessment/adults

Comments:

Normal mode

Chronic risk assessment: JMPR methodology (IEDI/TMDI)

No of diets exceeding the ADI : ---

Exposure resulting from

Calculated exposure (% of ADI)	MS Diet	Exposure (µg/kg bw per day)	Highest contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	2nd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	3rd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	MRLs set at the LOG (in % of ADI)	commodities not under assessment (in % of ADI)
3%	IT toddler	1.63	3%	Wheat	0.6%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
3%	GEMS/Food G06	1.45	3%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
3%	DK child	1.43	2%	Wheat	1%	Rye				
2%	RO general	1.01	2%	Wheat		Grapefruits				
2%	IT adult	0.97	2%	Wheat	0.3%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
2%	GEMS/Food G15	0.96	2%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
2%	DE child	0.92	2%	Wheat	0.2%	Rye	0.0%	Other cereals		
2%	FR child 3 15 yr	0.92	2%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
2%	GEMS/Food G08	0.91	2%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.1%	Barley		
2%	ES child	0.89	2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
2%	GEMS/Food G07	0.87	2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
2%	NL child	0.84	2%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
2%	NL toddler	0.84	2%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
2%	GEMS/Food G10	0.82	2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
2%	PT general	0.80	2%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
2%	UK toddler	0.78	2%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
2%	GEMS/Food G11	0.75	1%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
1%	SE general	0.67	1%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye				
1%	FR toddler 2 3 yr	0.62	1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Other cereals		
1%	UK infant	0.52	1%	Wheat		Grapefruits				
1.0%	ES adult	0.49	0.3%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
1.0%	DE women 14-50 yr	0.48	0.3%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.3%	IE adult	0.47	0.3%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.3%	DE general	0.46	0.8%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.3%	FR adult	0.45	0.3%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Other cereals		
0.8%	UK vegetarian	0.41	0.8%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.8%	NL general	0.41	0.8%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.7%	UK adult	0.34	0.7%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.6%	LT adult	0.32	0.4%	Wheat	0.2%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.6%	FI 3 yr	0.31	0.5%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.6%	DK adult	0.28	0.4%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye				
0.5%	FI 6 yr	0.26	0.4%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.5%	IE child	0.23	0.5%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
0.3%	FR infant	0.16	0.3%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.3%	FI adult	0.14	0.1%	Rye	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley		
	Column T			Grapefruits		Grapefruits				

TMDI (IEDI) calculation (based on average food consumption)

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltis 100 FS
Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
zRMS version

A 3.2 IEDI calculations



European Food Safety Authority
EFSA PRIMo revision 3.1; 2019/03/19

Prothioconazole 100 FS (F)

LOQs (mg/kg) range from:

to:

Toxicological reference values

ADI (mg/kg bw/day):

0.05

ARfD (mg/kg bw):

0.2

Source of ADI:

Source of ARfD:

Year of evaluation:

Year of evaluation:

Input values

Details - chronic risk assessment

Supplementary results - chronic risk assessment

Details - acute risk assessment/children

Details - acute risk assessment/adults

Comments:

Normal mode

Chronic risk assessment: JMPR methodology (IEDI/TMDI)

		No of diets exceeding the ADI :		---						Exposure resulting from	
Calculated exposure (% of ADI)		MS Diet	Exposure (µg/kg bw per day)	Highest contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	2nd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	3rd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	MRLs set at the LOQ (in % of ADI)	commodities not under assessment (in % of ADI)
0.4%	DK child	0.20	0.2%	Rye		0.2%	Wheat				
0.3%	IT toddler	0.16	0.3%	Wheat		0.1%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
0.3%	GEMS/Food G06	0.15	0.3%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.2%	GEMS/Food G08	0.11	0.2%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.2%	GEMS/Food G15	0.11	0.2%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.2%	RO general	0.10	0.2%	Wheat			Grapefruits				
0.2%	DE child	0.10	0.2%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.2%	GEMS/Food G07	0.10	0.2%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.2%	IT adult	0.10	0.2%	Wheat		0.0%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
0.2%	GEMS/Food G10	0.09	0.2%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.2%	FR child 3-15 yr	0.09	0.2%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.2%	NL toddler	0.09	0.2%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.2%	ES child	0.09	0.2%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley				
0.2%	GEMS/Food G11	0.09	0.1%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.2%	NL child	0.09	0.2%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.2%	PT general	0.08	0.2%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.2%	UK toddler	0.08	0.2%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.1%	SE general	0.07	0.1%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye				
0.1%	FR toddler 2-3 yr	0.06	0.1%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.1%	DE general	0.06	0.1%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.1%	ES adult	0.06	0.1%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley				
0.1%	DE women 14-50 yr	0.06	0.1%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.1%	UK infant	0.05	0.1%	Wheat			Grapefruits				
0.1%	IE adult	0.05	0.1%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.1%	NL general	0.05	0.1%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.1%	FR adult	0.04	0.1%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.1%	LT adult	0.04	0.0%	Rye		0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley		
0.1%	UK vegetarian	0.04	0.1%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Barley		
0.1%	FI 3 yr	0.04	0.0%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.1%	UK adult	0.03	0.1%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.1%	DK adult	0.03	0.0%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye				
0.1%	FI 6 yr	0.03	0.0%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	IE child	0.02	0.0%	Wheat		0.0%	Barley				
0.0%	FI adult	0.02	0.0%	Rye		0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	FR infant	0.02	0.0%	Wheat		0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	Column?			Grapefruits		Grapefruits					

TMDI(=IEDI) calculation (based on average food consumption)

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltres 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

A 3.3 IESTI calculations - Raw commodities

Acute risk assessment /children					Acute risk assessment / adults / general population					Acute risk assessment /children					Acute risk assessment / adults / general population																								
Details - acute risk assessment /children					Details - acute risk assessment/adults					Hide IESTI new calculations					Show IESTI new calculations																								
The acute risk assessment is based on the ARfD. The calculation is based on the large portion of the most critical consumer group.										IESTI new calculations: The calculation is performed with the MRL and the peeling/processing factor (PF), taking into account the residue in the edible portion and/or the conversion factor for the residue definition (CF). For case 2a, 2b and 3 calculations a variability factor of 3 is used. Since this methodology is not based on internationally agreed principles, the results are considered as indicative only. Since this methodology is not based on internationally agreed principles, the results are considered as indicative only.																													
Show results for all crops																																							
Unprocessed commodities	Results for children No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):				---				Results for adults No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):				---				IESTI new Results for children No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):				---				IESTI new Results for adults No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):				---										
	IESTI								IESTI								IESTI new								IESTI new														
	Highest % of ARfD/ADI		Commodities		MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)		Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI		Commodities		MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)		Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI		Commodities		MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)		Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI		Commodities		MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)		Exposure (µg/kg bw)								
	0.1%		Wheat		0.1 / 0.02		0.29		0.08%		Wheat		0.1 / 0.02		0.17		1%		Wheat		0.1 / 0.2		2.9		0.8%		Wheat		0.1 / 0.2		1.7								
	0.06%		Rye		0.05 / 0.02		0.13		0.05%		Rye		0.05 / 0.02		0.10		0.3%		Rye		0.05 / 0.1		0.63		0.2%		Rye		0.05 / 0.1		0.43								
	0.06%		Barley		0.02 / 0.02		0.11		0.05%		Barley		0.02 / 0.02		0.10		0.1%		Barley		0.02 / 0.04		0.22		0.10%		Barley		0.02 / 0.04		0.13								
Expand/collapse list																																							
Total number of commodities exceeding the ARfD/ADI in children and adult diets (IESTI calculation)																				Total number of commodities found exceeding the ARfD/ADI in children and adult diets (IESTI new calculation)																			

Processed commodities

[illegible]

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltis 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

A 3.5 TMDI calculations - 1,2,4-T

 European Food Safety Authority EFSA PRIMo revision 3.1; 2019/03/19		1,2,4-Triazole (F)		Input values							
		LOQs (mg/kg) range from: to:		Toxicological reference values		Details - chronic risk assessment Supplementary results - chronic risk assessment					
		ADI (mg/kg bw/day): 0.023		ARfD (mg/kg bw): 0.1		Details - acute risk assessment/children Details - acute risk assessment/adults					
Source of ADI: Year of evaluation:		Source of ARfD: Year of evaluation:									
Comments:											
Normal mode											
Chronic risk assessment: JMPR methodology (IEDI/TMDI)											
		No of diets exceeding the ADI: ---				Exposure resulting from					
	Calculated exposure (% of ADI)	MS Diet	Exposure (µg/kg bw per day)	Highest contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	2nd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	3rd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	MRLs set at the LOQ (in % of ADI)	commodities not under assessment (in % of ADI)
TMDI/NED/IEDI calculation (based on average food consumption)	1%	DK child	0.30	0.7%	Rye	0.6%	Wheat				
	1%	IT toddler	0.24	0.3%	Wheat	0.2%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
	1.0%	GEMS/Food G06	0.22	0.3%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.7%	GEMS/Food G08	0.17	0.5%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.1%	Rye		
	0.7%	GEMS/Food G15	0.17	0.6%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.7%	RO general	0.15	0.7%	Wheat		Grapefruits				
	0.7%	DE child	0.15	0.5%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.6%	GEMS/Food G07	0.15	0.6%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.6%	IT adult	0.15	0.5%	Wheat	0.1%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
	0.6%	GEMS/Food G10	0.14	0.5%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.6%	FR child 3-15 yr	0.14	0.6%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.6%	NL toddler	0.14	0.5%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.6%	ES child	0.13	0.6%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
	0.6%	GEMS/Food G11	0.13	0.5%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.6%	NL child	0.13	0.5%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.5%	PT general	0.12	0.5%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.5%	UK toddler	0.12	0.5%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.5%	SE general	0.10	0.4%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye				
	0.4%	FR toddler 2-3 yr	0.09	0.4%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.4%	DE general	0.09	0.2%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.1%	Barley		
	0.4%	ES adult	0.09	0.3%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley				
	0.4%	DE women 14-50 yr	0.08	0.3%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.3%	UK infant	0.08	0.3%	Wheat		Grapefruits				
	0.3%	IE adult	0.07	0.3%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.3%	NL general	0.07	0.3%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.3%	FR adult	0.07	0.3%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.3%	LT adult	0.07	0.1%	Rye	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley		
	0.3%	UK vegetarian	0.06	0.3%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Barley		
	0.2%	FI 3 yr	0.06	0.2%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.2%	UK adult	0.05	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.2%	DK adult	0.05	0.1%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye				
	0.2%	FI 6 yr	0.05	0.1%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.2%	IE child	0.04	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley					
0.1%	FI adult	0.03	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley			
0.1%	FR infant	0.02	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
	Column7				Grapefruits						
Conclusion: The estimated long-term dietary intake (TMDI/NED/IEDI) was below the ADI. The long-term intake of residues of 1,2,4-Triazole (F) is unlikely to present a public health concern.											

A 3.6 IEDI calculations- 1,2,4-T

 European Food Safety Authority EFSA PRIMo revision 3.1; 2019/03/19		1,2,4-Triazole (F)		Input values							
		LOQs (mg/kg) range from: _____ to: _____		Details - chronic risk assessment							
		Toxicological reference values		Supplementary results - chronic risk assessment							
		ADI (mg/kg bw/day): 0.023		ARfD (mg/kg bw): 0.1							
Source of ADI: _____ Year of evaluation: _____		Source of ARfD: _____ Year of evaluation: _____		Details - acute risk assessment/children Details - acute risk assessment/adults							
Comments: _____											
Normal mode											
Chronic risk assessment: JMPR methodology (IEDI/TMDI)											
No of diets exceeding the ADI: ---				Exposure resulting from							
TMDI(NED)/IEDI calculation (based on average food consumption)	Calculated exposure (% of ADI)	MS Diet	Exposure (µg/kg bw per day)	Highest contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	2nd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	3rd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	MRLs set at the LOQ (in % of ADI)	summed total net under assessment (in % of ADI)
	0.3%	DK child	0.06	0.1%	Rye	0.1%	Wheat				
	0.2%	IT toddler	0.05	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
	0.2%	GEMS/Food G06	0.04	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G08	0.03	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G15	0.03	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	RO general	0.03	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Grapefruits				
	0.1%	DE child	0.03	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G07	0.03	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	IT adult	0.03	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G10	0.03	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	FR child 3-15 yr	0.03	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	NL toddler	0.03	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	ES child	0.03	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G11	0.03	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	NL child	0.03	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	PT general	0.02	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	UK toddler	0.02	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	SE general	0.02	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye				
	0.1%	FR toddler 2-3 yr	0.02	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	DE general	0.02	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	ES adult	0.02	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
	0.1%	DE women 14-50 yr	0.02	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	UK infant	0.02	0.1%	Wheat		Grapefruits				
	0.1%	IE adult	0.01	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	NL general	0.01	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	FR adult	0.01	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	LT adult	0.01	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley		
0.1%	UK vegetarian	0.01	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Barley			
0.0%	FI 3 yr	0.01	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
0.0%	UK adult	0.01	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye			
0.0%	DK adult	0.01	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye					
0.0%	FI 6 yr	0.01	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
0.0%	IE child	0.01	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley					
0.0%	FI adult	0.01	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley			
0.0%	FR infant	0.00	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
0.0%	Column7			Grapefruits		Grapefruits					
Conclusion: The estimated long-term dietary intake (TMDI/NED/IEDI) was below the ADI. The long-term intake of residues of 1,2,4-Triazole (F) is unlikely to present a public health concern.											

A 3.7 IESTI calculations - 1,2,4-T

[illegible]

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltis 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

A 3.8 TMDI calculations - TLA

 European Food Safety Authority EFSA PRIMO revision 3.1; 2019/03/19		TLA (F)		Input values							
		LOGz (mg/kg) range from: to:		Details - chronic risk assessment		Supplementary results - chronic risk assessment					
		Toxicological reference values		Details - acute risk assessment/children		Details - acute risk assessment/adults					
		ADI (mg/kg bw/day): 0.3		ARfD (mg/kg bw): 0.3							
Source of ADI:		Source of ARfD:									
Year of evaluation:		Year of evaluation:									
Comments:											
Normal mode											
Chronic risk assessment: JMPR methodology (IEDI/TMDI)											
		No of diets exceeding the ADI: ---				Exposure resulting from					
	Calculated exposure (% of ADI)	MS Diet	Exposure (µg/kg bw per day)	Highest contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	2nd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	3rd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	MRLs set at the LOG (in % of ADI)	commodities not under assessment (in % of ADI)
TMDI/IEDI calculation (based on average food consumption)	0.2%	DK child	0.60	0.1%	Rye	0.1%	Wheat				
	0.2%	IT toddler	0.49	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G06	0.44	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G08	0.33	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G15	0.33	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	RO general	0.30	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Grapefruits				
	0.1%	DE child	0.30	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G07	0.29	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	IT adult	0.29	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G10	0.28	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	FR child 3-15 yr	0.28	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	NL toddler	0.27	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	ES child	0.27	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G11	0.26	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	NL child	0.26	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	PT general	0.25	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	UK toddler	0.24	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	SE general	0.21	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye				
	0.1%	FR toddler 2-3 yr	0.19	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	DE general	0.18	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	ES adult	0.17	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
	0.1%	DE women 14-50 yr	0.17	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	UK infant	0.16	0.1%	Wheat		Grapefruits				
	0.0%	IE adult	0.15	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	NL general	0.14	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.0%	FR adult	0.13	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	LT adult	0.13	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	UK vegetarian	0.13	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	FI 3 yr	0.11	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	UK adult	0.10	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.0%	DK adult	0.10	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye				
	0.0%	FI 6 yr	0.10	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	IE child	0.07	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley					
0.0%	FI adult	0.06	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley			
0.0%	FR infant	0.05	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
	Column7				Grapefruits						
Conclusion: The estimated long-term dietary intake (TMDI/IEDI/IEDI) was below the ADI. The long-term intake of residues of TLA (F) is unlikely to present a public health concern.											

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltis 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

A 3.9 IEDI calculations- TLA



European Food Safety Authority
 EFSA PRIMo revision 3.1; 2019/03/19

TLA (F)

LOGs (mg/kg) range from: _____ to: _____

Toxicological reference values

ADI (mg/kg bw/day): **0.3** ARID (mg/kg bw): **0.3**

Source of ADI: _____ Source of ARID: _____

Year of evaluation: _____ Year of evaluation: _____

Input values

Details - chronic risk assessment

Supplementary results - chronic risk assessment

Details - acute risk assessment/children

Details - acute risk assessment/adults

Comments: _____

Normal mode

Chronic risk assessment: JMPR methodology (IEDI/TMDI)

No of diets exceeding the ADI: ---					Exposure resulting from					
Calculated exposure (% of ADI)	MS Diet	Exposure (µg/kg bw per day)	Highest contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	2nd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	3rd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	MRIs set at the LOG (in % of ADI)	commodities not under assessment (in % of ADI)
0.1%	DK child	0.20	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Wheat				
0.1%	IT toddler	0.16	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	GEMS/Food G06	0.15	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.0%	GEMS/Food G08	0.11	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.0%	GEMS/Food G15	0.11	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.0%	RD general	0.10	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Grapefruits				
0.0%	DE child	0.10	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	GEMS/Food G07	0.10	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.0%	IT adult	0.10	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	GEMS/Food G10	0.09	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.0%	FR child 3 15 yr	0.09	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	NL toddler	0.09	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	ES child	0.09	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	GEMS/Food G11	0.09	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.0%	NL child	0.09	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	PT general	0.08	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	UK toddler	0.08	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.0%	SE general	0.07	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye				
0.0%	FR toddler 2 3 yr	0.06	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	DE general	0.06	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	ES adult	0.06	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
0.0%	DE women 14-50 yr	0.06	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	UK infant	0.05	0.0%	Wheat		Grapefruits				
0.0%	IE adult	0.05	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	NL general	0.05	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.0%	FR adult	0.04	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	LT adult	0.04	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	UK vegetarian	0.04	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	FI 3 yr	0.04	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	UK adult	0.03	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
0.0%	DK adult	0.03	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye				
0.0%	FI 6 yr	0.03	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	IE child	0.02	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
0.0%	FI adult	0.02	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	FR infant	0.02	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	Column7			Grapefruits		Grapefruits				

Conclusion:
 The estimated long-term dietary intake (TMDI/NEDI/IEDI) was below the ADI.
 The long-term intake of residues of TLA (F) is unlikely to present a public health concern.

A 3.10 IESTI calculations - TLA

Unprocessed commodities	Results for children No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):				---				Results for adults No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):				---				IESTI new No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):				---				IESTI new No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):				---			
	IESTI								IESTI								IESTI new								IESTI new							
	Highest % of ARfD/ADI		Commodities		MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)		Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI		Commodities		MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)		Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI		Commodities		MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)		Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI		Commodities		MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)		Exposure (µg/kg bw)	
	0.10%		Wheat		0.03 / 0.02		0.29		0.06%		Wheat		0.03 / 0.02		0.17		0.3%		Wheat		0.03 / 0.06		0.87		0.2%		Wheat		0.03 / 0.06		0.50	
	0.04%		Rye		0.03 / 0.02		0.13		0.03%		Rye		0.03 / 0.02		0.10		0.1%		Rye		0.03 / 0.06		0.38		0.10%		Rye		0.03 / 0.06		0.29	
0.04%		Barley		0.03 / 0.02		0.11		0.03%		Barley		0.03 / 0.02		0.10		0.1%		Barley		0.03 / 0.06		0.34		0.10%		Barley		0.03 / 0.06		0.29		
Expand/collapse list																																
Total number of commodities exceeding the ARfD/ADI in children and adult diets (IESTI calculation)																Total number of commodities found exceeding the ARfD/ADI in children and adult diets (IESTI new calculation)																

Processed commodities	Results for children No. of processed commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):				---				Results for adults No. of processed commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):				---				Results for children No. of processed commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):				---				Results for adults No. of processed commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):				---			
	IESTI								IESTI								IESTI new								IESTI new							
	Highest % of ARfD/ADI		Processed commodities		MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)		Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI		Processed commodities		MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)		Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI		Processed commodities		MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)		Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI		Processed commodities		MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)		Exposure (µg/kg bw)	
	0.1%		Wheat / milling (flour)		0.03 / 0.02		0.24		0.0%		Barley / beer		0.03 / 0		0.14		0.2%		Wheat / milling (flour)		0.03 / 0.06		0.73		0.1%		Barley / beer		0.03 / 0.01		0.43	
	0.0%		Wheat / milling (wholemeal)-l		0.03 / 0.02		0.11		0.03%		Wheat / bread/pizza		0.03 / 0.02		0.09		0.1%		Wheat / milling (wholemeal)-l		0.03 / 0.06		0.33		0.09%		Wheat / bread/pizza		0.03 / 0.06		0.26	
0.0%		Rye / boiled		0.03 / 0.02		0.07		0.03%		Wheat / pasta		0.03 / 0.02		0.08		0.07%		Rye / boiled		0.03 / 0.06		0.22		0.08%		Wheat / pasta		0.03 / 0.06		0.23		
0.0%		Barley / cooked		0.03 / 0.02		0.07		0.02%		Wheat / bread (wholemeal)		0.03 / 0.02		0.07		0.07%		Barley / cooked		0.03 / 0.06		0.22		0.07%		Wheat / bread (wholemeal)		0.03 / 0.06		0.21		
0.0%		Rye / milling (wholemeal)-bal		0.03 / 0.02		0.07		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		0.07%		Rye / milling (wholemeal)-l		0.03 / 0.06		0.21		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		
0.0%		Barley / milling (flour)		0.03 / 0.02		0.04		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		0.04%		Barley / milling (flour)		0.03 / 0.06		0.11		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		
#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		
#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		
#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		
#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		
#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		
#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		
#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		
#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!		
Expand/collapse list																																

Conclusions:
No exceedance of the toxicological reference value was identified for any unprocessed commodity.
A short term intake of residues of T1A (F) is unlikely to present a public health risk.
For processed commodities, no exceedance of the ARfD/ADI was identified.

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltis 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

A 3.11 TMDI calculations – TA

 European Food Safety Authority EFSA PRIMo revision 3.1; 2019/03/19		TA (F)		Input values							
		LOGs (mg/kg) range from: to:		Details - chronic risk assessment		Supplementary results - chronic risk assessment					
		Toxicological reference values									
		ADI (mg/kg bw/day): 0.3		ARFD (mg/kg bw): 0.3		Details - acute risk assessment/children		Details - acute risk assessment/adults			
Source of ADI:		Source of ARFD:									
Year of evaluation:		Year of evaluation:									
Comments:											
Normal mode											
Chronic risk assessment: JMPR methodology (IEDI/TMDI)											
				No of diets exceeding the ADI: ---							
TMDI(NED/IEDI) calculation (based on average food consumption)	Calculated exposure (% of ADI)	MS Diet	Exposure (µg/kg bw per day)	Highest contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	2nd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	3rd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	Exposure resulting from MRLs set at the LOG (in % of ADI)	commodities not under assessment (in % of ADI)
	1%	DK child	19.85	4%	Rye	3%	Wheat				
	5%	IT toddler	16.32	4%	Wheat	1%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
	5%	GEMS/Food G06	14.68	5%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	4%	GEMS/Food G08	11.12	3%	Wheat	0.6%	Barley	0.4%	Rye		
	4%	GEMS/Food G15	11.11	3%	Wheat	0.5%	Barley	0.2%	Rye		
	3%	RO general	10.13	3%	Wheat		Grapefruits				
	3%	DE child	10.04	3%	Wheat	0.5%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	3%	GEMS/Food G07	3.75	3%	Wheat	0.4%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	3%	IT adult	3.70	3%	Wheat	0.5%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
	3%	GEMS/Food G10	3.23	3%	Wheat	0.4%	Barley	0.1%	Rye		
	3%	FR child 3-15 yr	3.23	3%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	3%	NL toddler	3.06	3%	Wheat	0.3%	Rye	0.1%	Barley		
	3%	ES child	8.88	3%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
	3%	GEMS/Food G11	8.82	2%	Wheat	0.5%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	3%	NL child	8.59	3%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	3%	PT general	8.17	3%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	3%	UK toddler	7.88	3%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	2%	SE general	6.99	2%	Wheat	0.2%	Rye				
	2%	FR toddler 2-3 yr	6.18	2%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	2%	DE general	5.95	1%	Wheat	0.4%	Rye	0.3%	Barley		
	2%	ES adult	5.68	2%	Wheat	0.3%	Barley				
	2%	DE women 14-50 yr	5.63	1%	Wheat	0.3%	Rye	0.1%	Barley		
	2%	UK infant	5.24	2%	Wheat		Grapefruits				
	2%	IE adult	4.91	2%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	2%	NL general	4.59	1%	Wheat	0.2%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	1%	FR adult	4.46	1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	1%	LT adult	4.38	0.7%	Rye	0.7%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley		
1%	UK vegetarian	4.17	1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Barley			
1%	FI 3 yr	3.82	0.8%	Wheat	0.4%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
1%	UK adult	3.42	1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye			
1%	DK adult	3.30	0.7%	Wheat	0.4%	Rye					
1%	FI 6 yr	3.29	0.6%	Wheat	0.4%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
0.8%	IE child	2.33	0.8%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley					
0.7%	FI adult	2.09	0.5%	Rye	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley			
0.5%	FR infant	1.57	0.5%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
	Column7				Grapefruits						
Conclusion: The estimated long-term dietary intake (TMDI(NED/IEDI)) was below the ADI. The long-term intake of residues of TA (F) is unlikely to present a public health concern.											

CHR/ZF/PROTI 100 FS – Gamelan 100 FS/ Doraltis 100 FS
 Part B – Section 7 - Core Assessment
 zRMS version

A 3.12 IEDI calculations- TA

 European Food Safety Authority EFSA PRIMo revision 3.1; 2019/03/19		TA (F)		Input values							
		LOGs (mg/kg) range from: _____ to: _____		Details - chronic risk assessment		Supplementary results - chronic risk assessment					
		Toxicological reference values		Details - acute risk assessment/children		Details - acute risk assessment/adults					
		ADI (mg/kg bw/day): 0.3		ARfD (mg/kg bw): 0.3							
Source of ADI: _____		Source of ARfD: _____									
Year of evaluation: _____		Year of evaluation: _____									
Comments: _____											
Normal mode											
Chronic risk assessment: JMPR methodology (IEDI/TMDI)											
		No of diets exceeding the ADI: ---				Exposure resulting from					
TMDI/IEDI calculation (based on average food consumption)	Calculated exposure (% of ADI)	MS Diet	Exposure (µg/kg bw per day)	Highest contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	2nd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	3rd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	MRLs set at the LOQ (in % of ADI)	commodities not under assessment (in % of ADI)
	0.3%	DK child	2.78	0.5%	Rye	0.4%	Wheat				
	0.8%	IT toddler	2.28	0.6%	Wheat	0.1%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
	0.7%	GEMS/Food G06	2.06	0.7%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.5%	GEMS/Food G08	1.56	0.4%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.1%	Rye		
	0.5%	GEMS/Food G15	1.56	0.4%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.5%	RO general	1.42	0.5%	Wheat		Grapefruits				
	0.5%	DE child	1.41	0.4%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.5%	GEMS/Food G07	1.37	0.4%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.5%	IT adult	1.36	0.4%	Wheat	0.1%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
	0.4%	GEMS/Food G10	1.29	0.4%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.4%	FR child 3-15 yr	1.29	0.4%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.4%	NL toddler	1.27	0.4%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.4%	ES child	1.24	0.4%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
	0.4%	GEMS/Food G11	1.23	0.3%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.4%	NL child	1.20	0.4%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.4%	PT general	1.14	0.4%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.4%	UK toddler	1.10	0.4%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.3%	SE general	0.98	0.3%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye				
	0.3%	FR toddler 2-3 yr	0.86	0.3%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.3%	DE general	0.83	0.2%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.3%	ES adult	0.80	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
	0.3%	DE women 14-50 yr	0.79	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.2%	UK infant	0.73	0.2%	Wheat		Grapefruits				
0.2%	IE adult	0.69	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
0.2%	NL general	0.64	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye			
0.2%	FR adult	0.62	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
0.2%	LT adult	0.61	0.1%	Rye	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley			
0.2%	UK vegetarian	0.58	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Barley			
0.2%	FI 3 yr	0.53	0.1%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
0.2%	UK adult	0.48	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye			
0.2%	DK adult	0.46	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye					
0.2%	FI 6 yr	0.46	0.1%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
0.1%	IE child	0.33	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley					
0.1%	FI adult	0.29	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley			
0.1%	FR infant	0.22	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
	Column7					Grapefruits					
Conclusion: The estimated long-term dietary intake (TMDI/IEDI/EDI) was below the ADI. The long-term intake of residues of TA (F) is unlikely to present a public health concern.											

Unprocessed commodities	Results for children				Results for adults				Results for children				Results for adults					
	No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):				No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):				No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):				No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):					
	---				---				---				---					
IESTI				MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)	IESTI				MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)	IESTI new				MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)	
Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities				Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities				Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities				Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities		
1%	Wheat			170.28	4.0	0.6%	Wheat			170.28	2.4	10%	Wheat			170.28	17	
0.6%	Rye			170.28	1.8	0.5%	Rye			170.28	1.4	4%	Rye			170.28	3.7	
0.5%	Barley			170.28	1.6	0.5%	Barley			170.28	1.4	4%	Barley			170.28	9.7	
Expand/collapse list																		
Total number of commodities exceeding the ARfD/ADI in children and adult diets (IESTI calculation)						Total number of commodities found exceeding the ARfD/ADI in children and adult diets (IESTI new calculation)												

Processed commodities	Results for children				Results for adults				Results for children				Results for adults					
	No. of processed commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):				No. of processed commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):				No. of processed commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):				No. of processed commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):					
	---				---				---				---					
IESTI				MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)	IESTI				MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)	IESTI new				MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)	
Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Processed commodities				Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Processed commodities				Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Processed commodities				Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Processed commodities		
1%	Wheat / milling (flour)			170.28	3.4	0.7%	Barley / beer			170.06	2.0	8%	Wheat / milling (flour)			170.28	14	
0.5%	Wheat / milling (wholesal)-l			170.28	1.6	0.4%	Wheat / bread/pizza			170.28	1.2	4%	Wheat / milling (wholesal)-l			170.28	8.8	
0.3%	Rye / boiled			170.28	1.0	0.4%	Wheat / pasta			170.28	1.1	2%	Rye / boiled			170.28	7.6	
0.3%	Barley / cooked			170.28	1.0	0.3%	Wheat / bread (wholesal)			170.28	0.98	2%	Barley / cooked			170.28	7.0	
0.3%	Rye / milling (wholesal)-bal			170.28	0.98	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	2%	Rye / milling (wholesal)-l			170.28	7.0	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!
0.2%	Barley / milling (flour)			170.28	0.51	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	1%	Barley / milling (flour)			170.28	3.6	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!
Expand/collapse list																		

Conclusion:

No exceedance of the toxicological reference value was identified for any unprocessed commodity.

A short term intake of residues of TA (F) is unlikely to present a public health risk.

For processed commodities, no exceedance of the ARfD/ADI was identified.

A 3.14 TMDI calculations – TAA



European Food Safety Authority
EFSA PRIMo revision 3.1; 2019/03/19

TAA (F)

LOGs (mg/kg) range from: _____ to: _____

Toxicological reference values

ADI (mg/kg bw/day): **1** ARfD (mg/kg bw): **1**

Source of ADI: _____ Source of ARfD: _____

Year of evaluation: _____ Year of evaluation: _____

Input values

Details - chronic risk assessment

Supplementary results - chronic risk assessment

Details - acute risk assessment/children

Details - acute risk assessment/adults

Comments: _____

Normal mode

Chronic risk assessment: JMPR methodology (IEDI/TMDI)

Calculated exposure		Exposure (µg/kg bw per day)	No of diets exceeding the ADI: ---				Exposure resulting from			
(% of ADI)	MS Diet		Highest contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	2nd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	3rd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	MRLs set at the LOG (in % of ADI)	commodities not under assessment (in % of ADI)
TMDI(NED/IEDI) calculation (based on average food consumption)	2%	DK child	17.86	1.0%	Rye	0.8%	Wheat			
	1%	IT toddler	14.69	1%	Wheat	0.3%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley	
	1%	GEMS/Food G06	13.22	1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye	
	1%	GEMS/Food G08	10.01	0.7%	Wheat	0.2%	Barley	0.1%	Rye	
	1.0%	GEMS/Food G15	10.00	0.8%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye	
	0.3%	RO general	3.12	0.3%	Wheat		Grapefruits			
	0.3%	DE child	3.04	0.8%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley	
	0.3%	GEMS/Food G07	8.78	0.8%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye	
	0.3%	IT adult	8.73	0.7%	Wheat	0.1%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley	
	0.8%	GEMS/Food G10	8.31	0.7%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye	
	0.8%	FR child 3-15 yr	8.30	0.8%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley	
	0.8%	NL toddler	8.15	0.7%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley	
	0.8%	ES child	7.99	0.8%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley			
	0.8%	GEMS/Food G11	7.94	0.6%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye	
	0.8%	NL child	7.73	0.7%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley	
	0.7%	PT general	7.35	0.7%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley	
	0.7%	UK toddler	7.09	0.7%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye	
	0.6%	SE general	6.29	0.6%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye			
	0.6%	FR toddler 2-3 yr	5.56	0.6%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley	
	0.5%	DE general	5.35	0.3%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.1%	Barley	
	0.5%	ES adult	5.11	0.4%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley			
	0.5%	DE women 14-50 yr	5.07	0.4%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley	
	0.5%	UK infant	4.72	0.5%	Wheat		Grapefruits			
	0.4%	IE adult	4.42	0.4%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley	
	0.4%	NL general	4.13	0.3%	Wheat	0.1%	Barley	0.0%	Rye	
	0.4%	FR adult	4.01	0.4%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley	
	0.4%	LT adult	3.94	0.2%	Rye	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	
	0.4%	UK vegetarian	3.76	0.4%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Barley	
	0.3%	FI 3 yr	3.44	0.2%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley	
	0.3%	UK adult	3.08	0.3%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye	
0.3%	DK adult	2.97	0.2%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye				
0.3%	FI 6 yr	2.96	0.2%	Wheat	0.1%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.2%	IE child	2.10	0.2%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
0.2%	FI adult	1.88	0.1%	Rye	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley		
0.1%	FR infant	1.41	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	Column7					Grapefruits				

Conclusion:
 The estimated long-term dietary intake (TMDI/NED/IEDI) was below the ADI.
 The long-term intake of residues of TAA (F) is unlikely to present a public health concern.

A 3.15 IEDI calculations- TAA

 European Food Safety Authority EFSA PRIMo revision 3.1; 2019/03/19		TAA (F)		Input values							
		LOG ₁₀ (mg/kg) range from: to:		Details - chronic risk assessment							
		Toxicological reference values		Supplementary results - chronic risk assessment							
		ADI (mg/kg bw/day): 1	ARID (mg/kg bw): 1	Details - acute risk assessment/children							
Source of ADI:		Source of ARID:		Details - acute risk assessment/adults							
Year of evaluation:		Year of evaluation:									
Comments:											
Normal mode											
Chronic risk assessment: JMPR methodology (IEDI/TMDI)											
		No of diets exceeding the ADI : ---									
					Exposure resulting from						
	Calculated exposure (% of ADI)	MS Diet	Exposure (µg/kg bw per day)	Highest contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	2nd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	3rd contributor to MS diet (in % of ADI)	Commodity / group of commodities	MRLs set at the LOQ (in % of ADI)	commodities not under assessment (in % of ADI)
TMDI/NEDI/IEDI calculation (based on average food consumption)	0.2%	DK child	1.51	0.1%	Rye	0.1%	Wheat				
	0.1%	IT toddler	1.24	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G06	1.12	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G08	0.85	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G15	0.84	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	RO general	0.77	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Grapefruits				
	0.1%	DE child	0.76	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G07	0.74	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	IT adult	0.74	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Other cereals	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G10	0.70	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	FR child 3-15 yr	0.70	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	NL toddler	0.69	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	ES child	0.67	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
	0.1%	GEMS/Food G11	0.67	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	NL child	0.65	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	PT general	0.62	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.1%	UK toddler	0.60	0.1%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.1%	SE general	0.53	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye				
	0.0%	FR toddler 2-3 yr	0.47	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	DE general	0.45	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	ES adult	0.43	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley				
	0.0%	DE women 14-50 yr	0.43	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	UK infant	0.40	0.0%	Wheat		Grapefruits				
	0.0%	IE adult	0.37	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	NL general	0.35	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.0%	FR adult	0.34	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	LT adult	0.33	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	UK vegetarian	0.32	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	FI 3 yr	0.29	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
	0.0%	UK adult	0.26	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley	0.0%	Rye		
	0.0%	DK adult	0.25	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye				
	0.0%	FI 6 yr	0.25	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley		
0.0%	IE child	0.18	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley					
0.0%	FI adult	0.16	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Barley			
0.0%	FR infant	0.12	0.0%	Wheat	0.0%	Rye	0.0%	Barley			
		Column7			Grapefruits		Grapefruits				
Conclusion: The estimated long-term dietary intake (TMDI/NEDI/IEDI) was below the ADI. The long-term intake of residues of TAA (F) is unlikely to present a public health concern.											

A 3.16 IESTI calculations - TAA

Unprocessed commodities

Results for children					Results for adults					IESTI new					IESTI new				
No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):					No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):					No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):					No. of commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):				
IESTI					IESTI					IESTI new					IESTI new				
Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities	MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities	MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities	MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Commodities	MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)	
0.2%	Wheat	0.3 / 0.15	2.2		0.1%	Wheat	0.3 / 0.15	1.3		3%	Wheat	0.3 / 1.8	26		2%	Wheat	0.3 / 1.8	15	
0.10%	Rye	0.3 / 0.15	0.36		0.01%	Rye	0.3 / 0.15	0.74		1%	Rye	0.3 / 1.8	11		0.3%	Rye	0.3 / 1.8	8.7	
0.03%	Barley	0.3 / 0.15	0.85		0.01%	Barley	0.3 / 0.15	0.74		1%	Barley	0.3 / 1.8	10		0.3%	Barley	0.3 / 1.8	8.7	
Expand/collapse list																			
Total number of commodities exceeding the ARfD/ADI in children and adult diets (IESTI calculation)										Total number of commodities found exceeding the ARfD/ADI in children and adult diets (IESTI new calculation)									

Processed commodities

Results for children					Results for adults					Results for children					Results for adults				
No. of processed commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):					No. of processed commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI):					No. of processed commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):					No. of processed commodities for which ARfD/ADI is exceeded (IESTI new):				
IESTI					IESTI					IESTI new					IESTI new				
Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Processed commodities	MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Processed commodities	MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Processed commodities	MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)		Highest % of ARfD/ADI	Processed commodities	MRL / input for RA (mg/kg)	Exposure (µg/kg bw)	
0.2%	Wheat / milling (flour)	0.3 / 0.15	1.8		0.1%	Barley / beer	0.3 / 0.03	1.1		2%	Wheat / milling (flour)	0.3 / 1.8	22		1%	Barley / beer	0.3 / 0.36	13	
0.1%	Wheat / milling (wholemeal)-l	0.3 / 0.15	0.84		0.01%	Wheat / bread/pizza	0.3 / 0.15	0.67		1.0%	Wheat / milling (wholemeal)-l	0.3 / 1.8	10.0		0.8%	Wheat / bread/pizza	0.3 / 1.8	7.9	
0.1%	Rye / boiled	0.3 / 0.15	0.55		0.06%	Wheat / pasta	0.3 / 0.15	0.58		0.7%	Rye / boiled	0.3 / 1.8	6.5		0.7%	Wheat / pasta	0.3 / 1.8	6.3	
0.1%	Barley / cooked	0.3 / 0.15	0.55		0.05%	Wheat / bread (wholemeal)	0.3 / 0.15	0.53		0.7%	Barley / cooked	0.3 / 1.8	6.5		0.6%	Wheat / bread (wholemeal)	0.3 / 1.8	6.3	
0.1%	Rye / milling (wholemeal)-bal	0.3 / 0.15	0.53		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		0.6%	Rye / milling (wholemeal)-l	0.3 / 1.8	6.3		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	
0.0%	Barley / milling (flour)	0.3 / 0.15	0.28		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		0.3%	Barley / milling (flour)	0.3 / 1.8	3.3		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	
#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!		#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	#LICZBA!	
Expand/collapse list																			

Conclusion:

No exceedance of the toxicological reference value was identified for any unprocessed commodity.
A short term intake of residues of TAA (F) is unlikely to present a public health risk.
For processed commodities, no exceedance of the ARfD/ADI was identified.

Appendix 4 Additional information provided by the applicant